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Message from the Presidents

I designed and started promoting the Sports Tutorship model 18 years ago, given my knowledge on the management of sports at American Universities. The model involved and was built focusing on the development of an effective tutorship system to help the high-level student-athletes to develop as an autonomous and independent person. At UCAM, the dual career focuses mainly on high-level active athletes who are pursuing a university degree. With the sportive support of the Spanish Olympic Committee, we care about the person, we want the best for them and for their future career once they give up the elite sport practice and graduated. We are delighted to act as a model of reference for many European universities and institutions. UCAM is actually the European Sport University, and the University with more Olympics medals won in the last Olympics 2016 hosted by the city of Rio de Janeiro, just behind University of Stanford. Our investment in Olympic and Paralympic sport is terrific and is making the brand UCAM very well known all around the world. UCAM student-athletes are giving their best, to represent the University in high level championships but even more important, to represent their country. None of that could be possible without our investment, the Spanish Olympic Committee (COE) and the financial support from programmes like Erasmus+.

D. José Luis Mendoza Pérez
President of UCAM
Developing an innovative European sport tutorship

Alejandro Blanco Bravo
President Spanish Olympic Committee
This handbook compiles the experiences of researchers and university staff from five different European universities who understand that the dual career of student-athletes is more than a necessity, it is a right that the student athlete has as a human being.

I want to acknowledge the great work done by our European partners in Rome, Malta, Greece and the United Kingdom, as long as they have believed in this project, and for their enthusiasm and professionalism in carrying it out.

In the first chapter, we discuss the dual career issue and its importance for the European Union - encompassing projects such as ESTPORT - whose results we present in this book. We start from the idea of the athlete-student as a “centaur”, who must harmonize two high-level activities, one sport (as high level athletes) and another academic (such as university students). To do this, they must have the best conditions to respond to their personal right to be prepared for their future, once they finish their sports career.

Following this line, in the second chapter, we present the UCAM Sports Tutorship Model, a pioneering model in Europe to offer a dual career to those high level athletes who study a university career.

In the third chapter, we present the enriching experiences of the methodology of implementation of the model “sport tutor model” carried out by the Universities of Rome “Foro Italico” (Italy), Malta (Malta), Leeds Trinity University (United Kingdom) And Thessaly (Greece). From their methodological experience on how to implement the figure of the sports tutor in their universities during an academic course, we gathered important lessons to adapt our universities to sports universities.

Therefore, in the fourth chapter we present the “Estport” Model 2.0 version, which arises from the enrichment of the initial model of sports tutoring, with which we have been working at UCAM for more than a
decade, after the experiences resulting from its implementation in partner universities as a result of project funding by the European Union.

Finally, in the fifth chapter we present the initial findings of parallel research conducted with athletes-students from the five partner universities.

We hope that this handbook will serve as a guide to good practices and as a reference for those universities that want to join the dual career model, benefiting from the synergies that our most distinguished athletes give us when they represent us all over the world, and contributing to their own development as human beings.

Antonio Sánchez Pato
Project Manager of “ESPORT”
Dean of the Faculty of Sport of UCAM
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Emanuel Isidori, Alessandra Fazio,
Emilia Angelillo, Espedito Laterza
1. The EU and the dual career of student-athletes

The interest for the topics concerning the dual career of student athletes is growing in importance. In the last three years, the importance of helping professional athletes during and after finishing their professional career has been highlighted by the European Union (EU) through specific initiatives and political strategies supported by its funds. The EU has taken aware of the importance of enhancing athletes learning and education giving them the opportunity to attend university courses. These courses aim at developing athletes’ skills and competencies (European Commission, 2007; 2012). The ethical starting point of this recent interest of the EU for athletes education is that education is a human right and the athlete, as human being who has served the community through sport and has been useful to society, she/he deserves to be helped to enjoy this right in all stages of her/his life (Schweiger, 2014). Studies in this area (Giulianotti, 2004) point out that although Universal Right exists for education, as established in Article 26 of the “Universal Declaration of Human Rights” (United Nations, 1948), however, there are evidence that young athletes have had to seriously compromise their educational development in favor of a potential elite sports career; “Historically among young athletes, specialization in sports disciplines with a view to entering elite levels has invariably led to a serious shortfall in other forms of education that promote their personal and social development” (Giulianotti, 2004, p.4).

The athlete has to be helped to develop as a professional and human being and to join the life-long learning system which society provides for the formation of its members. In contemporary European society, the issue of dual careers represents a very central topic. Nowadays, the main educational agencies and institutions of the Old Continent have
recognized the importance of the formation of the athlete, and they are aware of the complex problems that all this implies. Actually, it carries complex demands for quality teaching activities, social and professional placement, and teaching program development (Bastianon, 2014). The characteristics of the athlete, who is both a student and professional who wishes to earn a university degree, require a specific system capable of both teaching and assisting them in following these courses. For this reason, the universities which are interested in devoting part of their efforts as educational agencies to this kind of athletes know that they need to focus not only on the specific and particular contents of their teaching programs but also on the specific organizational and logistic aspects related to the teaching activities.

2. A “centaur” profile

The concept of dual career refers to the combination and coupling of an athletic career with education and/or occupation (Geraniosova & Ronkainen, 2015). For an athlete, pursuing education while competing in high-performance sport can be a challenge. We know that nowadays there is an increasing demand on athletic performance in elite sports. This can determine a very high pressure on athletes, who are often forced to choose between maximizing their athletic potential or obtaining a satisfying education for their post-athletic career (Lavallee & Wylleman, 2000). As claimed by Geraniosova and Ronkainen (2015), if this, on the one hand, can often lead to premature discontinuation of the athletic career due to prioritization of education (Amara, Aquilina & Henry, 2004), on the other hand, it can happen that the athlete tends to less invest in education due to her/his exclusively focusing on athletic success (Aries, McCarthy, Salovey & Banaji, 2004). It is important to keep in mind that although the longevity of sports careers varies according to sport, most athletes have to face the inevitable decline of their physical abilities at the time when the majority of workers have stabilized in their professions. The end of the sporting career implies a year, 5-7% of elite athletes, with an average age
of 34 years (North & Lavallee, 2004). Depending on the type of sport, the age of making this transition may vary. North and Lavallee (2004) found that athletes in gymnastics, diving, swimming, skating and judo thought to retire between the ages of 24 and 30, and sailing, golfing, riding and shooting thought about their retirement after 40.

For all these reasons, the dual career represents a challenge for European universities that need to invent new ways of reorganizing their knowledge, regulations and the learning models they offer to their students. This has to be done so to help student athletes in their learning processes which, if one wants them to be effective, they have to be supervised and monitored by an appropriate supervision system capable of adapting themselves to the needs and requirements of the student-athlete both as a person and professional. To be clear, one of the main question relating to the dual career of athletes and its issues concerns “what”, or better “who” the student athlete is. According to the main definitions, a student-athlete is a person who is a full-time university or high school student, and who participates in athletics or plays sport as an individual or member of a federation, a club, or of a sport association.

This definition is generic, and it takes into account the situation and characteristics of the student-athlete as seen in the tradition of the European Universities, which have not a long tradition in this field, as it happens, instead, in the American ones. For the student-athlete, it is not easy to find a balance between education and participation in athletics because this participation takes complete mentally and physically dedication. Nevertheless, many authors claim that participation in sport can foster, in all the people, the growth of her/his personality, of leadership skills, and it can bring, if adequately supported, to a global satisfaction with the University experience (Ryan, 1989). This experience can serve as what we can call a “reservoir” for skills which can become qualities shown as behaviors by the athlete as a person in her/his everyday life. To define who the student-athlete is, it seems a very complex and elusive task due to many factors and variables such as: the vision of each university, college or high-school about the student athletes; social awareness that education is a right for the athlete throughout the overall course of her/his life; the
policy and politics strategies, dependent on local or national institutions/governmental bodies, aimed to support the dual career of student-athletes; and, above all, the prejudices and stereotypes towards the dual career of student athletes.

Actually, there are several prejudices and stereotypes towards the student-athlete and her/his being as such. These prejudices and stereotypes «range from being classified as a “dumb jock” to majoring in “easier degrees” as opposed to their peers» (Diersen, 2005). This prejudice has been dismantled by many researches and studies which have shown how, in some countries such as the United States (where the “culture” of the dual career of student-athletes has always been massively present), student-athletes succeed in attaining higher grade point averages than other students (Soltz, 1986). The same studies have also shown how these students reveal educational aspirations, reveal that they are not superficial because they show self-concepts, philosophies of life, and other effective characteristics that can be enhanced by participation both in education and sport.

The student athlete shows a complex profile which can be considered very similar to that of a centaur (half man and half animal). We could say that, actually, the student-athlete is neither an athlete nor a student, if we conceive of her/him as a person who uses to entirely devote herself/himself to the full activities that both sport and education imply. The student-athlete really seems to be a centaur: half a student and half an athlete, whose success depends upon being entirely one of the two parts of the mythological creature. This centaur profile, being so complex, fragmented and unstable, of course, makes it difficult to identify a stable pattern of overall training and education for students athletes in a dual career. In this regard, contemporary studies have stressed the importance to offer student athletes the satisfactory experience of a “whole career”, focusing on an approach centered on the “whole person”, and highlighting that athletes are human beings who, in their professional development, go through several transitional and alternative phases. All these phases can develop simultaneously and range from sport performances, educational activities carried out both in face to face and at distance, and psycho-social development (Wylleman & Lavallee, 2004).
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The centaur profile of the student-athlete calls for a specific holistic approach in terms of learning models, organization and structuring of experiences offered to these persons. The research on the dual career of athletes supported by the European Union testifies the importance of this holistic approach (Aquilina & Henry, 2010; Platts & Smith, 2009). The documents of the European Union have also stressed the importance, despite the differences due to cultural and country specific educational policies and traditions, of guidance and counseling as key factors to promote the dual career of athletes, and to offer them the opportunity of a satisfactory “whole career” experience capable to couple sport and education, career and life (EOC, 2011; EC, 2012).

3. The student-athlete and her/his desert

One of the main ethical issues concerning the dual career of student-athletes deals with so-called “desert”, i.e. what the student-athlete deserves in terms of rewards, attention, care, support, guidance, tutorship and mentorship, assistance from universities and public institutions. From a philosophical point of view, the “desert” is a matter of justice, fairness, and rightness. Desert in dual career stems from a variety of forms, generally, it is about some positive or negative treatment that athletes ought to receive during and after their transition from sporting career to their educational path. One might claim why an elite student-athlete, compare to an exceptional student –worker should deserve an ad hoc treatment and special attention by the European Union and Public institutions to support his/her academic career? In addition, in light of a hypothetical theory of justice, is it right or wrong to strongly support student-athletes when they decide to attend a university course? A professional athlete is a person who is engaged in sport to earn a salary and survive. Sport is not a hobby for her/him. Very few athletes are able to generate a large income from sport. Most of them are poor and after their sportive professional career are forced to restart a new life and find a new job. The question of what student-athletes deserve is closely connected issues of social
justice (see respectively Rawls’ and Miller’s theory of justice). An athlete who becomes a student (at any level), and participates in national and international competitions, gives prestige and honor to her/his nation, city and community. Sport has in itself intrinsic good while the athlete, through sport, showing her/his competencies, skills, virtues and values, transform them into extrinsic goods.

The student-athlete, before being an athlete and a student is a person. As a person, the athlete is recognized to be a “means”, or even more effectively, a human being capable of expressing; completing the intrinsic and internal values and virtues that sport as a human practice bears to humankind. We recognize that the student-athlete plays such an important function for our society and consequently we reward her/him for this important role and function. This means that sport is a human capital able to activate resources that bring goods to the community in which we live. To be clear, it is not the athlete in herself/himself that we reward (through a special attention when she/he attends a university course or the high school) but her/his dedication as a person to sport and to its internal and intrinsic goods. Actually, we are convinced that dedicating her/his life to sport, to its values and virtues, a person will be always a better person and, therefore, more useful to society in line with de Coubertin’s concept expressed in his so-called theory of “Sports Republic”.

4. The quest for new learning models

This means that when one teaches student athletes attending different university courses, the main starting point of that teaching should be the competences and skills acquired and developed by them in their sports career. To be clear, sport and both its intrinsic and extrinsic values should be always the starting point for designing contents, learning models and outcomes of university courses for student athletes. Recent studies (Aquilina, 2013) state that encouraging athletes to focus on other than athletic achievements (for example, academic achievement) helps to reduce stresses related to competition pressure and, in addition, to learn
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skills. Activities such as academic (for example, intellectual stimulation) that can be approved in sports.

Sport always has to be seen as providing a resource and set of experiences that allow student-athletes to enrich their knowledge by reconverting the competencies and skills acquired during their previous professional career into competencies and qualifications for their new professions, future jobs, and employments. We could say that an athlete is an athlete forever. Therefore, in the context of the seeking new learning models for student athletes, sport has to be seen as a toolbox for developing their new competencies and skills in order to grant them access not only to the University as a community of learning but also to other vocational courses or the lifelong learning system. Key figures in the development of these new learning models are represented by mentors and tutors. These figures are responsible for activities which are fundamental to assist and support student-athletes at University. As we have said about tutoring and counseling, there also exist specific differences between mentoring and tutoring. The main aim of both these two activities, which always imply a set of help and support actions, is to promote the attainment of results, encouraging and instilling positive attitudes in student athletes. Tutor and tutoring are two academic terms which define the figure and action of people whose aim is to assist individuals who are behind in their studies or experience problems when they study within large groups of people. A tutor has to have proficiency in the main subject matters the student athletes are taught. Mentor and mentoring, on the contrary, are terms which refer to an older and mature person who acts as an example to emulate and offer students/trainees opportunities to improve their life skills (Danish, Petipas, & Hale, 1993). For student athletes, mentors, who can come from several and different backgrounds, serve as examples to emulate. A mentor is more a guide than a teacher, and this figure can also play a remarkable role in assisting student-athletes during their transition from their profession as sportswomen/sportsmen to University. Both mentor and tutor are key figures in the process of assisting student-athletes in their transition from profession to inclusion in the formal system of learning (Stankovich, Meeker & Henderson, 2001). Both the two figures
represent and form the pillars of the tutorship/mentorship system in the context of the dual career of athletes.

Mentoring and tutoring within the system of the dual career, although these two actions want to achieve the same goal and are part of the same system, they are different. As we have said above, mentoring focuses on life skills and provides help and assistance to athletes encouraging them to live the experience of studying and learning at the university as something positive. Mentoring helps students cope the stress this experience might imply. Tutoring helps athletes acquire information and develop problem-solving skills relate to the specific courses they follow. Both mentoring and tutoring show the importance of developing new learning models for helping athletes successfully attend university/education courses (Schön, 1983; Mezirow, 2000; Tuijnman, & Boström, 2002; Jackson, 2012).

Assuming that there does not exist any formula to successfully mentor, tutor or counsel student athletes, a successful tutorship system for these specific students has to include both mentoring and counseling actions. The student-athlete is a “person”, that is a human being who acts as a “whole”, and as such she/he has to be helped to develop. As a person, the student-athlete has to be encouraged to critically reflect on her/his motivation to study, and to be helped to reflect on her/his own limits, on how to overcome difficulties taking aware of the results attainable through commitment and dedication to the task (Zagelbaum, 2014).

An effective tutorship system for dual career student-athletes has to intellectually and emotionally motivate them to find solutions to solve problems and to put forth the necessary effort to complete the tasks they are required to carry out. In the context of this tutorship system, mentors have to positively influence the athletes to personal motivation and self-encouragement. A mentor is a person who provides advice, shares knowledge and experiences with student athletes, and motivates them to study using an approach based on low pressure and self-discovery.

We could say that the person who can make the tutorship more effective has to be half a tutor and half a mentor, focusing on strategies aimed at enhancing self-discovery and problem-solving in student athletes. To be clear, being this person also a tutor, on the one hand, she/he has to
be well versed in the subject matters the students are taught. In addition, using problem-solving or critical thinking methodology, the tutor has to be capable of listening and determining the concepts student athletes don’t understand or are not able to grasp when they study, helping them to autonomously solve the problems they face.

5. The need for a personalized tutorship model

In a nutshell, motivation, knowledge, and comprehension of the subject matters as well as of the process of acquiring them, have to be the pillars of a system to tutor and mentor student athletes. This system has to help them develop problem-solving skills and to discover how to better understand things. This system has to inspire confidence in the student-athletes and motivate them to learn autonomously and in a critical way. The system has to focus on the way individual students acquire information, i.e. on their learning styles, not being afraid to use innovative communication approaches to tutor and foster effective study habits in them. At this point, we can try to sketch the principles of a methodology to design a tutorship system for the dual career of student-athletes who follow university or, generally speaking, education courses. Taking into account that the scope of an effective tutorship system is always to help the student-athlete to develop as an autonomous and independent person, these principles can be summed up as follows.

a) To teach student athletes how to assess a problem they face;
b) To help them analyze the problem and propose individual solutions;
c) To encourage them to individually acquire the research tools and skills to solve the problem;
d) To help the student-athletes to autonomously acquire all the information needed for solving the problem;
e) To help them put into action the solution they are testing working both individually and in team;
f) To continuously provide the student with examples of problem-solving, and gradually increase the difficulty and complexity of it.
Tutorship is, after all, a communication system aimed at developing both educational and communication skills in student athletes. It is a tool that educational organizations can use to help and grow their student athletes and it can be an informal practice or part of a formal program. There are many causes which can determine the success or failure of an education program for student athletes. One of these is not to give athletes the opportunity to continue their sport participation at an elite level during and after their university studies. Upon the end of participation in sport at an elite level, for example, former athletes can often experience negative emotions and behaviors. In a program aimed to tutor and to mentor student athletes, these latter ones have to be helped ease the transition from sport activities to university life also continuing to combine both. In some cases, student-athletes have to be prepared for the end of their sport careers by assessing their identity (Webb, Nasco, Riley, & Headrick, 1998) since the reality for most professional athletes is that although they may have a great success in the sports scene, they will have to think about some alternative subsistence during and after their sports career (David, 1999) and developing a tutorship system to support and encourage them to develop strategies to use within the situation of sport retirement, in order to make easier their transition out of sport and into a new role in life and society. In this regards, the design and implementation of a tutorship system for student athletes should focus on and take into account how to carry out four crucial actions. These actions can become guidelines to sketch a successful tutorship program and are as follows.

a) To take care of the “self” of the student-athlete. By the time they enter university, the student-athlete has been engaged and participated in sport for many years. She/he have achieved success in her/his sport and have developed an identity as an athlete. This identity has to be preserved and at the same time developed so that the athlete can be motivated to study, taking aware of the importance of studying as an opportunity for a new job. We know that there exists, mainly among professional athletes, a sports culture that emphasizes athletics over academics (Adler & Adler, 1985; Benson 2000), causing them to be at risk for lack of engagement in academic pursuits and
delayed career development (Menke, 2013). In this regard, it is important not only to encourage the student-athlete to engage in academic activities such as knowing other students, but also talking with teachers and instructors, understanding their own strengths and weaknesses, and establishing their values which are at the core of their athletic and student identity.

b) To take care of the support of student athletes. Coaches, trainers, teammates, as well as athletic counselors are important and key figures who are pillars for the support and tutorship system of student athletes (Wisker, Exley, Antoniou, & Ridley, 2013). This means to encourage student-athletes to establish and enter in a support team that includes significant others inside and outside of athletics. This team has also to be capable of creating a link between the university and athletics (Anderson, Goodman, & Schlossberg, 2012).

c) To encourage student athlete’s participation in academic activities. This achievement has to be attained not only through pointing out the benefits of studying at university, but also showing the athletes how the university can help them develop role models for a future non-athletic identity. The university has to be a “resource for supporting resources”, encouraging student-athletes to maintain a support web that includes family, friends, and others.

d) To think of and to be aware of the strategies to support the student athlete. In this regards, it is important, for the success of a tutorship system for the dual career of sportswomen and sportsmen, to use specific strategies to encourage them. These strategies can be based on dialogue and discussion (so that to create an environment where student-athletes feel comfortable and free to express their opinions about class attendance and how they feel about university). The strategies can also consist of intentional actions aimed at developing in the athlete’s exploration of personal identity, and at understanding how that of student differs from the athlete’s one. This exploration favour an easier transition and a more effective participation in academic activities at university by the student-athlete. There are authors who have stressed the importance of helping student athletes develop a student identity in addition to their athletic one, aiding and nurturing this development in order to promote more positive outcomes for them (Lally & Kerr, 2005).
To sum up, what is of fundamental importance for creating an effective tutorship system for student athletes in dual career is networking to their careers. This networking has to be developed while they are at university. These athletes have to be encouraged to treasure the high-profile experiences they received in sport to help themselves when they are at university. Sport represents a focus and a positive model for student-athletes who can use it as a drive to put forth their best effort at every task. We know that sport develops a specific set of abilities and skills useful to effectively work in a team environment. For this reason, both tutors and mentors (just in case these two figures are not coupled within a tutorship program for student athletes) should encourage student-athletes to adopt similar behaviours, and to use the positive aspects of sport as a human practice to transition into other new life and social roles.

6. Future trends

In the future, it will be necessary to study tutorship for the dual career of athletes in light of a more systemic approach, taking into account all the multidimensional aspects and pedagogical challenges it embodies (social, cognitive, political, organizational, etc.), and framing it within the concept of sport guidance. To study this tutorship in terms of a systemic approach can help us to better understand the importance of the involvement and coordination of different educational and social agencies, seen as key institutions to sport policies. These policies will have always to be conceived of as educational policies in the future (Stambulova & Ryba, 2013). Education is a human right, and the participation in education and learning activities by athletes has to be increased and seen as a part of a permanent and complex social process that fulfills and completes sport as a human practice. Within this process of guidance and orientation for athletes, communities, and families – rather than the university – play a fundamental and key role. A real tutorship system for the athlete in a dual career can achieve its main goal only through an effective cooperation between the main agencies and organizations that promote sport in our
society (schools, federations, sport associations, and clubs, etc.). The concept of dual career needs a rethinking of sport as an educational practice and form of human capital capable of empowering athletes and helping them to enhance their skills as a person in the frame of lifelong learning and a continuous educational system (Zagelbaum, 2014). The university alone cannot take care of the athlete as a student and enhance her/his skills through education. Students athletes have to be seen as members of an educational system that involves the whole community as a set of educational agencies that support them in all the stages of professional and personal life. Therefore, tutorship, flexibility and the need for a systemic approach represent the main pedagogical challenges for the dual career of athletes. These three challenges are all summed up in another big challenge that consists of finding a methodology that can help athletes to reconcile, as well as possible and according to their needs, education and professional career (Casucci, 2002). For the athlete, sport should represent an opportunity for fulfilling her/his life as a professional and human being who needs to learn for being a better citizen, woman or man.

To conclude, we are convinced that it is necessary to rethink the dual career of athletes in terms of a specific pedagogy of sport guidance (Isidori, 2015) looking at the athlete as a person who has different types of intelligences that, in accordance with Howard Gardner’s theory of multiple intelligences (Gardner, 2011), have to be oriented and guided in the framework of a human paideia of values and virtues (Reid, 2002).
THE UCAM SPORTS TUTORSHIP MODEL:
“ESTPORT”

Antonio Sánchez Pato, Antonio Calderón, Juan Alfonso García Roca,
José Luis Arias Estero, Pablo Rosique Meseger
In this chapter, we will outline the core features of the Innovative European Sport Tutorship model for the dual career of athletes. ESTPORT, the Sports Tutorship model, began 18 years ago designed and promoted by the UCAM President, Mr. José Luis Mendoza Pérez. It involved and was built focusing on the development of an effective tutorship system to help the high-level student-athlete to develop as an autonomous and independent person, as it was described previously. The UCAM experience over these years can provide some key insights to ensure the quality of dual career services.

Overall, the ESTPORT model is also framed and follows the directions of the EU Guidelines on Dual Career of Athletes (European Commission, 2012). We must recognize the excellent initiatives, as part of setting the norms to provide a “seal of quality” or the “Minimum Quality requirements for Dual Career Services”, as proposed by Cees Vervoorn.

We must take advantage of the good work done by pioneering institutions such as the European Athlete as Student (EAS) Network, following the model established by the EU Guidelines on Dual Careers of Athletes, approved by the EU Expert Group “Education & Training in Sport” in Poznan on September 2012, and the GESS Project (Gold in Education and Elite Sport: Enhancing the competences of the elite student-athletes & dual career support providers), leaded by Paul Wylleman, Koen De Brandt & Simon Defruyt. But we must go a step further and establish the ‘normative principles’ to influence the sports policies of the EU.

1. Requirements and Assumptions

At UCAM, the dual career focuses mainly on high-level active athletes who are pursuing a university degree. Athletes from other academic levels
are not excluded, but UCAM have specialized and adapted its practices to this population. Therefore, some of the requirements for a smooth delivery and integration of the model into universities are:

a. There must be an institutional commitment to support dual care at all levels and by all stakeholders: at European, national, or regional levels as well as by universities and other authorities. It is critical to raise public awareness as well as the awareness of people (athletes, coaches, teachers and families) and by the institutions involved (responsible for sports, clubs, competitive leagues, etc.).

b. It is imperative to establish the norm for achieving quality standards that make the dual career sustainable and integrate along with all the university services that carry it out (sports coach, sports service, academic services, new technologies, physicians, physiotherapists, etc.).

In addition, it is important to reflect about different assumptions on the topic, which will contribute to a more effective delivery and integration. These are the two assumptions that ESTPORT consider essential:

a. The dual career is a “service” that universities should offer to student athletes, and can only be maintained over time if it is a quality service.

b. It is important to recognize the conflict involved in prioritizing the athletic or academic career in these athletes, establishing two different models: a) the athlete-student and b) the student-athlete. Therefore, we must generate two different models, which must be complementary.

Dual Career services are most effective when they provide individual support, promote athlete progression, are embedded in formal agreements, combine facilities (e.g. education and training), and encourage professionalism, awareness, interaction and monitoring. In particular, it is vital that all concerned – not least the athlete – accept and live up to their responsibilities and those they communicate as openly and intensively as possible. Pitfalls include inconsistent definitions and qualification criteria,
diverse ownership of an issue that involves a large number of stakeholders, and discrepancies between the great variety of policies and practices in place (European Commission, 2016).

2. Core features of the Ucam Sports Tutorship Model

2.1. Contextualization

Strategically located in Murcia (Spain) with a Campus of 15,541 students and around 644 professors, UCAM is a private Higher Education & Research Institution with twenty years of history which offers 30 prominent European official degrees studies, 52 Master programmes and 54 PhD programmes, among other relevant studies. With a strong international vocation (http://international.ucam.edu/), UCAM students have the opportunity to develop their global perspective thanks to the joint programs, scientific collaborations and exchange agreements with more than 200 universities all around the world, including UC Berkeley, UC Stanford, Università di Bologna, National University of Singapore (NUS), Nanyang Technological University (NTU), PUC - Rio Grande do Sul, Wuhan University & Beijing Foreign Studies University. UCAM, the Catholic University San Antonio in Murcia promotes sports and physical activity since its foundation.

UCAM currently have about 200 high-level athletes, of which 60 have attended the Rio Games, obtaining 12 medals and six diplomas, and this is not by chance. UCAM’s close relationship with the Spanish Olympic Committee (with the support of the IOC), to train athletes so they can have a profession when they finish their sports career, through a personalized tutoring program, has motivated the best Spanish athletes to want to study at our university.

From the Sports Activities Service we provide to our students the opportunity to practice their sport or modality at all levels, we organize internal Championships (Opening Trophy and President Trophy), to the sponsorship of professional teams and to the participation in the Spanish
University Competition. From 2003, UCAM has occupied podium positions in the Spanish University Competition being hailed Champion in 2003 and last years, 2013 with 76 medals (50 golds) beating all the medals records, 2014 with 118 medals (76 golds), 2015 with 118 medals (76 golds) and 2016 with 114 medals (71 golds). There are more than 2000 athletes competing for UCAM. Much of UCAM’s success is due to our commitment with innovation and reinforced by the fact that we are pioneers in Spain in the implementation of a study system that make possible for high-level athletes to conciliate sports activities with academic formation. With near of 200 Olympic and Paralympic athletes in Athens, Beijing, London and Rio (in Rio, our athletes won 12 Olympic medals, second university on the world), UCAM’s sports system is determinant to world-class sportsman to chosen this university to complete their training (Table 1).

The medallists Mireia Belmonte (swimming), David Cal (canoeing), Saúl Cravioto (canoeing) give UCAM 13 Olympic medals. UCAM not only promotes the practice of sports but also maintains a policy of sponsorship and co-direction of sports clubs in the Region of Murcia, increasing its scope with the main sponsorship of a basketball professional team, UCAM Murcia CB who competes in the ACB League and UCAM Murcia Football Club, which debuted this season in the Second Division. All our projects, together with our UCAM Olympic Studies Centre and our series of conferences and research programs in the field, make UCAM be considered as “The University of Sport”. This recognition had its confirmation with the Joaquín Blume Trophy, given by the Spanish National Sports Council to UCAM and to other personalities such as Vicente del Bosque, Di Estefano and Cristiano Ronaldo.

2.2. The Sport Tutorship Model

There are three theoretical principles to be considered under the sport Tutorship:

a. Integration: It aims to provide all academic, professional and sport services and activities currently scattered in different agencies, units, departments and institutions, etc.
b. Individuality: The programme is structured in an individualized itinerary for each athlete.

c. Pro-activity: The programme acts proactively, anticipating future needs.

In practical terms, the Model will work as follows: The diagnosis and identification of specific needs of the athlete; guidance and advice for future job; working together with the sports and academic career:

Table 1. UCAM Student-athletes with scholarship of different sports and programmes (academic year 2015-2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPORT</th>
<th>Degree</th>
<th>Postgraduate</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-country</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot orienteering</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddle</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table tennis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taekwondo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Basketball</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s basketball</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s volleyball</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s volleyball</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Futsal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Futsal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Handball</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Handball</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another sports</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>107</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
<td><strong>139</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Occupational guidance
  – Diagnosis and identification of need.
  – Guidance and counselling for employment.
  – Working together with athlete training pathways and career paths.
• Training
  – Information and advice about training activities.
  – Information and advice about scholarships and financial aids.
  – Support in the management and processing of entries to training activities.
  – Sport monitoring and academic tutoring.
  – Intermediation with businesses, organizations and institutions to facilitate access to employment services.
• Employment
  – Information and advice on the job market (type of contracts, social security, labour law, etc.).
  – Information and advice about employment sites/opportunities, related to their professional profiles.
  – Advice and support during the job search.
  – Providing tools for active job search.
  – Job vacancies management.
  – Tracking job incorporations and work maintenance.
• Collaboration
  – Promoting partnerships with private businesses, organizations and institutions.
  – Coordination of activities with partners.
  – Prospecting potential employers.

Procedure for granting a sports scholarship, application for admission and registration of the athlete at UCAM:
1) Application and granting of a sports scholarship:
   There are two methods of recruiting sports scholarship holders for the UCAM Sports Service:
   – By the own methods of search of talents by the technicians of the Service.
   – By the athlete’s own request.
The sportsman can contact the Sports Service and make requests or queries, through the email account deportes@ucam.edu or by telephone. Then the commission intervenes, in charge of filtering and evaluating the applications, finally deciding whether or not to grant the scholarship to the student.

2) Administrative procedures, application for admission and registration of the athlete:

– Once the sports scholarship has been awarded, in the case of new students, contacts with the student are initiated to carry out all the administrative procedures, in order to complete their pre-registration and admission to UCAM.

– The student he advises and reports all the documentation you will need to complete and parallel procedures as may be the transfer of the academic records from their home university. This step is necessary to proceed with the registration.

– Always with the help of the Sports Tutor of each degree, the student enrolments are made, taking into account the personal, academic and sports situation of each student.

– Guidance is provided in the case of students with studies completed in other universities, who made the request for recognition of credits from the Sports for the completion of that application correctly.

– Sports scholarship students from the second year onwards, with the coordination of the Sports Service, are advised by their corresponding Sports. Tutor when choosing subjects and academic strategy, counting on the Virtual Campus as a virtual help tool.

– Throughout this process, direct contact with the Secretariat Office of the UCAM and its Economic Management Unit is essential. This is due to the fact that all the academic, administrative and economic procedures related to the sports scholarship students are finally realized in their dependencies, and under their regulations and authorization.
3) Follow-up of incidents.
   – Once the athlete is enrolled and is attending the course normally, it is essential to keep track of the possible incidents that may arise, in order to ensure that the compatibility between academic and sports life is successful.
   – In case of an incident, the Sports Service receives the warning from the student and any unexpected situation is solved, as far as possible, or the solution can also be referred to the corresponding department or to his Sports Tutor.
   – Examples of incidents can be: adaptation of exam dates to training programs, management of absences due to non-attendance to class for attendance to competitions, paperwork, information on specific topics or any other situation related to the academic aspect.

The Sport Tutorship have to be run by tutors-mentors assigned to athletes and supervised by a coordinator, who analyses together their training and professional itinerary, diagnosing and detecting their needs. This model provides individualized attention that involves face and telemetric information, advice and guidance, brokerage and management of all resources that high-level athletes may need to ease their transition to work after their sporting activity. These tutors will be responsible for collecting and integrating various existing resources for formal and non-formal training, labour intermediation and support in active job search that are of interest for athletes, both their professional evolution and for their access to the labour market.

The “UCAM Sport tutor” requires the elaboration and maintenance, in each academic year, of the Official Census of Athletes who participates in competitions, federated or university competitions, representing the UCAM. They are athletes of special interest to the Sports Activities Service, and are students enrolled in any of the courses held at this institution. In particular, it requires:
   a. Control of academic and sports performance of all students included in the Official Census.
   b. Personal tutoring to reinforce all Census students.
c. Establishment of appropriate lines of coordination between the different students of the Census in relation to their sports commitments and their academic obligations, establishing, special and/or individualized treatments of:
   – Dates of exams;
   – Class attendance;
   – Realization and/or delivery of works and homework.

d. Information and on-going advice on topics of interest to Census students.

e. Liaison and spokesperson of all students of the Census to the various professors and the own UCAM, as well as to the Department Division of CAFD and the Sports Activities Service.

f. Election, along with the Heads of the Faculty of the student-tutor. In relation to the objective of creating the figure of the Student Tutor, it is important to point out that UCAM already has this figure. For some years now, it has introduced to help Student-Athletes, which will be his “link” of relationship between classmates and teachers. On many occasions it is clear that this figure could solve problems faster like group works or retrieve class notes on days that cannot be attended. It should be noted that the UCAM is already promoting research to be able to help the Student-Athletes in their day to day, with the presence of the Student Tutor as a goal. The reality is such that in the research carried out parallel to this project by (Sánchez-Pato et al., 2016) three of the questionnaire questions (#33, #38 and #84) directly affect this figure (see Annex 2).

g. This work is very important because the access to information by a classmate directly is more enjoyable than the one that can have on the part of a professor, due to the different positions in the organization chart of the university. For these reasons the UCAM is focused on improving its Student Tutors and that they become an indispensable tool in the future.

h. Elaboration of the inform for the annual report of each Faculty:
   – Sports results obtained.
   – Observed Academic Performance.
– Predictable short and medium term sports projection.
– Personal report.
– Others, which at the time are determined, inherent to those already described

The Sport Tutor of UCAM guarantees control of academic and sport success of all students including in this census and provides personal tutoring and reinforcement of all these high performance student athletes. The Sports Tutor also provides on going advice and information on issues of interest to high-level student athletes. The Sports Tutor liaises and acts as a spokesperson for all high performance student athletes to the various teachers and UCAM itself and to the Office of the Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Sciences and UCAM Sports Activities Service. The Sports Tutor prepares an annual report for the Faculty of Physical Activities and Sports Sciences in which details, in relation to each of the high-performance student athletes, at least the following information:
  a. Sports scores achieved
  b. Academic performance observed
  c. Foreseeable sports planning, on short and medium-term
  d. Personal information

2.3. Rights and obligations of student-athletes

Students-athletes, as all the students, have rights and obligations:
- Rights:
  – Assignment and support from the sport-tutor and from the student-tutor.
  – Supervision and support from the sport staff from the University (researchers, and coaches).
  – Changing exam date.
  – Exemption from class attendance.
  – Facilitate participation in university championships
  – Supervision and support from the medical and health staff from the University (physiotherapist, etc.)
• Obligations:
  – Represent the University in the acts that it requires.
  – Compete with the university at the national university championships and other competitions.
  – Participate in lectures, conferences, inaugurations, etc., representing the University, sharing their experiences in their sports life.
  – Participate actively in social networks representing the University.

2.4. Other contextual data from UCAM

In the academic year 2015-2016, 188 people were benefited from the UCAM scholarship for athletes, of which, 139 remained active during the course (through presentation to exams).

Of these, 89 were men and 50 were women, who belonged to 23 different sports modalities. With regard to the type of studies enrolled, 107 where in undergraduate studies and 32 in postgraduate courses (University Master or Official Master). Among the sports practiced, Football stands out, with 28.8% of athletes studying (33 in undergraduate studies and 7 in Master’s studies) followed by Athletics with 9.4% of athletes studying (8 in undergraduate studies and 5 in Master’s studies) (Table 1).

In relation to undergraduate students (107), they enrolled in different Faculties of the University, with special attention to those students who study in the Faculty of Sports (35.5%), as well as those who study In the Faculty of Health Sciences (18.7%) and the Faculty of Legal and Business Sciences (Table 2).

Taking into account the percentage of success in the studies carried out by scholarship students (calculated by dividing the enrolled credits by successfully approved credits), the results show a success rate of 72.3% as can be seen in table 3. In this Table the percentages of success of each student of Degree and Master are presented.
Table 2. Descriptive data about programme, Faculties and sports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport/Faculty</th>
<th>Health Sciences</th>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Nursing</th>
<th>Social Sciences and Communication</th>
<th>Legal and Business Sciences</th>
<th>Higher Polytechnic School</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Athletics</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-country</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot orienteering</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paddle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table tennis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taekwondo</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judo</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Basketball</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s basketball</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s volleyball</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s volleyball</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Futsal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Futsal</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men’s Handball</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women’s Handball</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Football</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canoeing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another sports</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>18,7</td>
<td>35,5</td>
<td>3,7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15,9</td>
<td>12,1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 3. Descriptive data; success rate by sports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sport</th>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Registered credits</th>
<th>Credits exceeded</th>
<th>% Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Athletics</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>43,5</td>
<td>16,7</td>
<td>46,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgraduate</td>
<td>50,8</td>
<td>42,8</td>
<td>83,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross-country</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>62,3</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>40,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foot orienteering</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>75,0</td>
<td>66,8</td>
<td>89,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triathlon</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>83,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tennis</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>54,5</td>
<td>49,5</td>
<td>89,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Paddle</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>65,3</td>
<td>62,3</td>
<td>95,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Karate</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>80,5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21,5</td>
<td>35,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taekwondo</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>39,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>71,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Judo</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Swimming</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>56,6</td>
<td>35,3</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men’s Basketball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>59,4</td>
<td>38,7</td>
<td>65,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60,0</td>
<td>42,7</td>
<td>71,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women’s basketball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>78,6</td>
<td>67,4</td>
<td>82,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men’s volleyball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>60,8</td>
<td>47,6</td>
<td>81,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>56,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women’s volleyball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>76,0</td>
<td>74,9</td>
<td>99,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motorcycling</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>58,5</td>
<td>7,5</td>
<td>12,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men’s Futsal</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>52,1</td>
<td>22,9</td>
<td>40,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women’s Futsal</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>60,3</td>
<td>54,5</td>
<td>90,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men’s Handball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>115,5</td>
<td>94,5</td>
<td>81,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women’s Handball</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>106,5</td>
<td>96,6</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>83,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Football</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>75,1</td>
<td>57,8</td>
<td>73,4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>52,9</td>
<td>48,9</td>
<td>93,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canoeing</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>96,3</td>
<td>77,4</td>
<td>71,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Another sports</strong></td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>62,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postgrade</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>58,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
METHODOLOGY OF IMPLEMENTATION
THE SPORT TUTOR MODEL

Emanuel Isidori, Alessandra Fazio,
Emilia Angelillo, Espedito Laterza
In this section, we are going to expose the experiences implementing the sports tutor figure in the universities involved in the ESTPORT project. Each one will first make a brief presentation of the institution, to continue with the methodology developed in the implementation of the project. Then they indicate major barriers and obstacles that had to be overcome, as well as lessons and recommendations learned during the process. Finally, they will show how to make sustainable a project like ESTPORT.

1. University Of Thessaly (UTH)

University of Thessaly (UTH) was founded in 1984 and elected the first Rectorate Board in 1998. While its administrative and academic center is situated in the city of Volos, it has also been expanded in more new campuses in the cities of Larissa, Trikala and Karditsa as far as the regions of Thessaly concerns and in Lamia. UTH is an institution which its present academic structure consists of eighteen (18) Departments and six (6) Faculties, with prominent position in the Greek educational system. The main mission of the University of Thessaly is the promotion of scientific knowledge through research and the contribution to the cultural and economic development of the local community and to society in general. UTH is steadily attracting both high profile professors and personnel either from Greece or abroad and continuously upgrading its services to industry, central government, local authorities and society.

The Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences was founded in 1993. Its facilities are situated in an area of 150.000 m2 in Karyes, Trikala. The Department currently employs 23 faculty members,
9 members of teaching and laboratory staff, and 12 members of technical and administrative staff, not considering the yearly contract or secondment staff. The Department was among the first 10 Greek higher education departments that participated in the formal internal and external evaluation procedures set by the Greek Ministry of Education.

As it is imposed by the Institutional Law the Bodies of the Department that form the institutional framework of operation are the General Assembly, the General Assembly of Special Composition, the Administrative Board and the Head. Beyond its great performance at the present context in the Department there is not any other fundamental institutional approach concerning the students/athletes and their integrated framework in order to support them.

UTH gives also special emphasis on the bond between the University of Thessaly and the labour market. This bond is further supported by the operation of the Career Services Office which is mainly associated with connecting graduates with the business sector, and it encourages a great deal of social activities and public lectures on various issues held by qualified academic staff.

1.1. Methodology

a) Steps and explanation of the main features of each step

Operating in a quite conventional and traditional institutional framework the concept of the Dual Career imposed by the ESTPORT project had to be introduced in a very attentive and concrete way. Such an approach was considered really necessary in order to ensure that the introduced model could provoke the interest of the students-athletes and the staff but at the same time without causing radical changes in the traditional way of the Department’s overall operation.

Therefore, a careful and a well-designed strategy was developed assuring the effectiveness of the model of dual career sports – athletes in the Greek framework. The proposed strategy was based on the following integrated and well defined steps.
b) Dissemination & Raising Of Awareness

Basic priority and main aspiration of the working team was to highlight the importance of supporting athletes-students on institutional basis while at the same time to reveal the regulatory operating framework of this institutional model which is the one of encouragement the dual career aspect. Subsequently, the design and the development of a comprehensive strategy towards the disclosure of the importance of the dual career model became the first working priority.

The working team in the project had to adopt a relatively careful strategy in order to achieve to “stress” the innovative concept of the “Sport Tutorship Model” under the framework of a very conventional and traditional operation of the Greek Higher Educational Institutions (HEI).

Therefore, an “outward” official launch of the project and raising of awareness of the Sport Tutorship Model attempted to focus on the problem of dual career of sport athletes and its negative effects not only in short term period but also in long term, as well. With the presence of policy officers, stakeholders, trainers, students and faculty members the first workshop was implemented in the premises of the Local Municipality (symbolically) in order that the aspect of the Dual Career to be highlighted beyond the conventional limits of the University. The basic goal of the workshop was relatively address the issues and the framework of Dual Career for the students-athletes in Greece.

The feedback received and the results provided were the basis for the smooth introduction of the concept of the Sport Tutorship Model in the Greek framework. Beyond the many significant results and key assumptions that were extracted by this 1st workshop, one of the most critical points that actually represented the basic inflow in UTH’s contribution was the adoption of a very aggressive awareness strategy.

It was decided that this strategy that will be implemented throughout the life time of this project, will concern the different target groups in order to ensure that the concept of the Dual career of sport athletes in general and in particular the Sport Tutorship Model will be incorporated into the consciousness of all the related stakeholders (faculty, staff, students, trainers, athletes, etc.).
The aggressive dissemination strategy that was adopted by the UTH team in order to ensure the awareness of the Sport Tutorship Model, was considered quite successful. It has been confirmed by the number of students/athletes that replied to the questionnaires of the related survey but also by the number of students/athletes that participated in the next workshop. This 2nd workshop raised the interest of a really big number of participants that actually provided the feedback for the design of this Sport Tutorship Model.

With the implementation of the two workshops the first main objective of familiarizing the concept of “Sport Tutorship Model” has been accomplished. All the relevant stakeholders have revealed the importance and the significance of supporting the students-athletes through an integrated model which was the first priority in our Greek Institutional framework.

### 1.2. Barriers and difficulties

Beyond the extensive raise of awareness the introduction of such innovative, new and radical concept of sport tutorship could not be introduced automatically into a conventional and bureaucratic public institution without constraints and delays.

A number of barriers and limitations appeared that made the smooth introduction of the Sport Tutorship Model in a Greek Higher Educational Institution a very big challenge:

- **a) The Institutional approval:** Despite the presence of a relatively big number of faculty members in the workshops and their positive attitude towards the importance of existence of Sport Tutorship Model in the Department there was still a need of institutional acceptance/approval in the general assembly of the Department. However, the bureaucratic “monster” that rules the Greek public sector (including the Greek HEI) requires an additional institutional acceptance in order to become an official regulation through the Division of Students and Administrative Affairs.

- **b) Profile and availability of Tutors:** Two basic issues concerning the tutors and their contribution to the model affected the smooth
introduction to the model negatively. Firstly, the availability of the faculty for undertaking the “critical” role is one of the biggest obstacles since the present status of the professors in Greek HEI is considered extremely busy. Therefore, it is highly important to expand the base of the available staff in order to undertake the important role of a tutor. However, in that case another problem is automatically assigned. Who might be a potential tutor beyond the professor? Who obtains the necessary skills and competence to undertake this role?

  c) “Elite” student-athletes: Since the Greek professional sports have been affected by the recent economic crisis inevitably that affected also the number of students/athletes that actively participate in a champion level being considered as “elite” students athletes. Therefore, another big problem that has emerged is related with the profile of the students-athletes that should be considered as “elite” and could take advantage of the sport tutorship model.

  d) Content of the Model: One of the most critical issues that was raised through the workshops referred to the real content of the proposed Tutorship Model. Due to the diverged target group it was a real difficult task to determine the content and the support services of the proposed Tutorship Model. Different demands and needs could not be applicable to be modified in one simple unique framework.

  e) Status of the model: A big debate emerged concerning the “status” of the model. The very “busy” schedule of many students/athletes with a lot of trainings and courses created the need of online tools for supporting the students. Soon it became a critical question which was under consideration whether the sport tutor would be represented by his/her physical presence (through scheduled visits) or it might be applicable to be supported through a dynamic platform.

1.3. Recommendations and lessons learned

The present institutional framework together with the different limitations represented the need for the design and the development of an integrated methodological approach that will contribute to the
successful introduction and the smooth adoption of the Sport Tutorship Model in the national context. In order to overcome the difficulties and the limitations that.

The proposed model consists of a stage model that will contain four (4) different phases:

I. The initial Phase: Contains the raising of awareness of the concept of the Sport Tutorship in order all the involved stakeholders to become familiar with this new challenge and gradually to become an integral part of the daily life of both the faculty members and the students-athletes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Process</th>
<th>Matching Process</th>
<th>Proactive educational planning</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Evaluation</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Image 1. The Sport Tutorship Model in the Greek context.

II. The Introduction Phase: Contains the two first stages of the model a) selection process and b) matching process. At this stage the criteria of selecting the “elite” group of students-athletes are carefully determined in order to achieve the best “matching” of tutors-athletes relationship. An open invitation was launched with a clear description of the necessities and the profile of the proposed “elite” students that would be able to participate in the Sport Tutorship Model. This carefully designed stage of the model facilitated the whole process of identifying the correct “target” group and thus to avoid the misunderstandings between the students-athletes and the faculty members of the Department.
III. Implementation Phase: This stage of the model was considered quite necessary firstly in order to identify properly the right portfolio of support services provided to the participants and secondly to achieve a high quality in terms of delivering these services to the students-athletes. In order to do so a careful determination of the particular needs of each participant has been identified formulating with the Tutor the “roadmap” for each student-athlete.

Additionally, the qualitative provision of support services has been ensured by being categorized in two different thematic fields: a) educational and b) career & occupational. Similarly and in order to be able to meet the needs of the students-athletes that due to their busy schedule and their outdoor training could not meet the Tutor by physical presence, an online tutorship approach was introduced (merely by skype).

What was obviously identified as basic outcome during the workshops and the sessions with the tutors is that students-athletes need a career oriented “toolkit”.

Due to their daily dedication to their sports they are missing important information concerning their future both in terms of postgraduate studies and career options available for their future. Therefore, along with the labour market experts of the career office a necessary career “toolkit” was prepared containing:

- List of selected Sport master courses across Europe and particularly in UK,
- Career Guide with detailed description and guidelines how to prepare a Curriculum Vitae and a Cover Letter,
- Templates of CVs both in Greek and English language (including Europass format).

IV. Evaluation Phase: Selected members of the “elite” team were asked to prepare and present their CVs to the Tutors in order to monitor both their involvement and their progress. Additionally through scheduled meetings “virtual” interviews were organized in order that the Tutors (acting as potential employers) could identify skills, abilities but also weaknesses and negative aspects of the students-athletes.
This play game of roles facilitated the whole introduction of the elite students to the model and their familiarization with the concept of tutorship. Their performance was being also monitored during the exam period in order not only to facilitate the students-athletes but also to ensure that the model performs properly and meets successfully the initial objectives.

As it is presented from image 1, the successful implementation of all the phases of the Sport Tutorship Model for the first time in the Greek Higher Educational Institution has been reinforced from a parallel dissemination strategy that has been in an on-going basis.

1.4. Sustainability

The first Sport Tutorship Model of Dual Career was successfully introduced and implemented in the national Greek context. This first initiative was considered really successful with several critical aspects to be inspected for the future sustainability of the Tutorship Model. Some of these issues are considered as necessary pre-requisites not only for the existence of the model but also for its future sustainability.

a) Become institutional unit. As it has been clearly illustrated by this first implementation of the Sport Tutorship Model in the Greek Higher Educational Institution a broader aspect of dual career of students-athletes should be addressed institutionally and not occasionally according to the good “willingness” of the Sport faculty. It is highly important to be determined under institutional basis and become a permanent service provided all students-athletes that “fit” under the proposed criteria available not only for the students of the Sport Departments but also for the students of the other departments as well.

b) Constant raising of awareness. The dissemination and the raising of awareness for the Sport Tutorship Model should be an ongoing activity promoting the positive effects of its implementation in a permanent basis. An aggressive marketing strategy should be aligned with the sustainability of the model revealing all the advantages and the multiple effects not only for the students-athletes but also for the Institutions and
the relative stakeholders. Particularly UTH invested on this ongoing promotion of the Sport Tutorship Model. Having that in mind the UTH working team has prepared and promoted a promotional video revealing not only the importance of the model but also the benefits for the students-athletes, by using as main characters of this video the same students-athletes that participated in the model.

c) Adjustment to the changing economic environment. A sustainable framework for the Sport Tutorship Model dictates that its concept will be always subject to consideration and continuous adjustment to the changing conditions of both the economic and the social environment. Students–athletes should be aware of the continuous changing and the demanding economic environment that might affect their transition (after their sport performance) to the labor market.

A static and solid Model unable to be adjusted to the changing conditions and the different demands of the students-athletes cannot ensure the prospective benefits and the added value of a successful Sport Tutorship Model. In order to ensure this continuous reformation a multi-disciplinary committee should be introduced in order to assess the validation of the model and its functional adjustment to the conditions proposed by the new environment.

Students-athletes support system. The introduction of a supportive system for students-athletes is very important for the continuation of their career. This system may include, financial support for elite students-athletes (e.g. scholarship), medical support with regular medical check-ups in order to prevent any health problems and psychological assistance by helping them to overcome oncoming problems (e.g., transition period in their career).

2. Leeds Trinity University (LTU)

Leeds Trinity University was founded in 1966 as a teacher training college, and whilst the institution continues to be renowned for the high standard of teacher training provision, the number and range of courses
has expanded and diversified considerably. For example, Leeds Trinity University was one of the first places in the United Kingdom to offer degrees in Forensic Psychology and Sports Journalism. Now with more than 3,000 students, a tenfold increase compared to when the institution first opened, the university focuses on undergraduate provision; recruiting over 1,000 new undergraduate students in 2016. Additionally, recruitment is expanding with regards to postgraduate, part-time, continuing professional development and international learners. With 433 postgraduates recruited in 2016, Leeds Trinity University continues to demonstrate a sustained upward trajectory in Higher Education provision.

The University has an ever developing sport structure. The Sport Development team work to promote sport and physical activity participation at the University in all levels of sport. This now includes the recruitment and retention of student athletes. Prior to the implementation of the Sport Tutor model, Leeds Trinity University had three identified student athletes, this has increased to sixteen throughout the implementation period. A partnership developed internally with Trinity Performance has contributed greatly to the supporting of student athletes, Trinity Performance are an external facing specialist team that work with a range of athletes, coaches and students to offer support and development opportunities within sport performance, health and exercise by offering services such as, performance consultancy, fitness assessment and coach Education Workshops.

Prior to the implementation of the Sport Tutorship model in academic year 2014/2015, there was no formal support structure in place for student athletes enrolled at Leeds Trinity University. Although praised by previous cohorts, the support that student athletes previously received was on an ad-hoc basis and was reliant on the “good will” of individual staff members. Obviously, this approach demonstrated inconsistency and lacked formalisation as well as workload allocation; often leading to confusion and a blurring of roles for both students and staff. Leeds Trinity University was therefore unable to recruit student athletes on the basis of this ad hoc support and the absence of a formal support system for student athletes. The opportunity to introduce the Sport Tutorship model has allowed Leeds Trinity University to support current student athletes.
and become a realistic option for those who may consider to combine the demands of competitive sports participation and a programme of study at the University in the future. The model fundamentally aims to assist student athletes in the learning of key transferable skills that can be utilised in ensuring the demands of both academia and their sporting career can be met and utilised post sporting career (Cosh and Tully, 2014; Aquilina, 2013). Leeds Trinity University prides itself on providing a special community feel therefore the Sport Tutorship model aims to utilise this through fostering support and development of student athletes.

2.1. Methodology

Prior to the implementation of the Sport Tutorship model, surrounding literature was reviewed. An emergent theme was, the transition into University life combined with a high level of sporting competition places a potentially intolerable level of demand on the student athlete. As the implementation process developed, new publications continued to express the difficulties in the transition into University life, particularly for student athletes (Cosh and Tully, 2014; Brown et al., 2015). Therefore, the Sport Tutorship model aimed to educate and support student athletes through their journey of Higher Education and sporting career by ensuring that the demand placed upon them could be managed, controlled and coped with. Figure 1 provides an overview of the methodology that will be discussed.

a) Introduction of project assistant

The initial step for implementation of the Sport Tutorship model was employing a Sport Tutorship project assistant. As there was no formalised method of student athlete support, no staff within the sport services were contracted to work with student athletes. As ambiguity of the role and responsibilities was highlighted previously at an institutional level, a key priority was for a staff member to take ownership of the project. Accordingly, additional hours were added to an existing Sport Development team members contract to account for the administration and supervision of the project under the guidance of the project leader. The day-to-day
running of the project; included, but was not limited to: identification of, retaining and managing student athletes, along with allocation of a designated Sport Tutor based on the demands of their sport. Having the project assistant as the named point of contact allowed for the promotion of the project internally to create awareness of the model.

![Figure 1: Leeds Trinity University Sport Tutorship implementation model.](image)

**b) Focus group**

Once a project assistant was employed, a needs analysis was performed such that the requirements of current student athletes were sought to be identified. To achieve this aim, a focus group with three student athletes allowed exploration of the perceptions concerning the level of current support, whether adequacy was achieved and how support systems could be improved via the introduction of the Sport Tutorship model. Several
key determents were highlighted to explain a student athlete’s ability to successfully manage the demands of being both an athlete and being engaged in full or part-time academic study (figure 2). To facilitate this process, it emerged that specialist workshops would be of benefit to provide further bespoke guidance on pertinent topics. Additional issues identified included: an increased provision of one-on-one support from staff, priority facility access to manage training schedules and clearer academic support procedures around pinch-points in the competition calendar. The focus group allowed the opportunity to introduce the new Sport Tutorship model to the student athletes. At this early stage, they were confident that this would address their issues identified as it designated a single member of staff as their point of contact.

c) The Sport Tutor role and identification

The next step was to identify suitable academic staff members to take the role of the Sport Tutor. Key characteristics required of the Sports Tutor them were elected (figure 3). These characteristics were identified
through surrounding literature and information provided in the focus group. The Sports Tutors had to be approachable and flexible, these had to be pre-disposed by the individual and been developed further through previous work experience in an elite environment and working to support students. Therefore they were required to hold a strong level of knowledge in relation to the demands of both academia and competition. It emerged that two members of academic sport staff who had previously worked in an elite environment, one in relation to physiology and the other, psychology met the designated criteria.

The core of the Sport Tutor’s role is was aimed to reduce the demands placed on student athletes (figure 4). The focus group highlighted that supporting staff must have previous experience in working with and understanding the demands of an elite sporting environment (Johnson, et al. 2013). A second reason that strengthened their suitability to the role of Sports Tutors was that, both physiological and psychological assistance emerged from the focus group as two areas of support student athletes wanted improved access to. With this demand, the identification of Sport Tutors became about not just supporting the student athlete academically but in a performance related well-being role too. Another aspect of the Sport Tutor role that would strengthen the model was their ability to assist in the development of key transferable skills or ‘critical tools’ that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experience</th>
<th>Personality Characteristics</th>
<th>Knowledge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>· Supporting Students</td>
<td>· Approachable</td>
<td>· Physiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Supporting Elite Athletes</td>
<td>· Disciplined</td>
<td>· Psychology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Efficient</td>
<td>· Nutrition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Enthusiastic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Flexible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Passionate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>· Reliable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified Via</td>
<td>· Student athlete ideals</td>
<td>· Student athlete ideals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Student athletes ideals</td>
<td>· Focus Group</td>
<td>· Focus group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Focus Group</td>
<td>· Literature (Aquilina, 2013)</td>
<td>· Literature (Cosh and Tully, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>· Literature (Aquilina, 2013)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Characteristics of Sport Tutor.
would have life-long value for student athletes (Aquilina, 2013). This included supporting the student athletes in improving skills such as coping strategies, problem solving and addressing priorities. This element of the Sport Tutor aims to encourage student athletes to take responsibility for their current positioning and use the key skills to maintain or adjust the direction in which they are heading. Whilst also knowing how to access further support if required. This allowed the Sport Tutorship model to be strengthened, as it ensures an additional dimension on the role of the Sport Tutor and the importance of their contributions to the successful implementation of the model.

d) Development and introduction of athlete support package

The third step after identifying student athlete’s needs and suitable Sport Tutors, was the development of the Athlete Support Package (ASP) that could be administered in conjunction with the Sport Tutor model to provide students with a holistic, formalised and sustainable support package (Appendix 1). As there was no offer in place prior to the introduction of the Sport Tutorship model, it was acknowledged that alongside providing
a Sport Tutor, the further development of a unique support offer was required. The responsibility for the researching and designing the ASP was assigned to a sole member of staff placed on the Sport Development Committee. They conducted a review of competitor Universities offers, leading to the formulation of the ASP. It was found that English Universities offer specialist access to facilities and tailored sports science programmes. The ASP was signed off by the Sport Development Committee as they felt the level of support it offered was unique and beneficial to student athletes. It was then introduced, the Sport Tutor model was placed within the offer and aimed to meet the demands identified in the initial focus group at the beginning of the process.

Two key aspects of the ASP are the offering of tailored support via Trinity Performance team and access to sport facilities to manage training schedules. It was seen that Trinity Performance held a level of expertise that, combined with the Sport Tutors can benefit student athletes. Alongside Trinity Performance, improved sport facility access allowed student athletes to fit training in during busy periods if required. The aim was to provide a base for training to occur as a coping strategy if external training sessions cannot be attended due to various demands.

The role of the Sport Development Committee at Leeds Trinity University was to inform and discuss the sporting landscape. The committee aimed to develop all levels of the sporting pathway and strategy. It was a strong communication channel that allowed an internal partner approach to sport and physical activity, allowing shared objectives and a platform to voice opinions. Another aim was to assess how sport can contribute to internal partner’s requirements and strategies. Figure 5 identifies the breakdown of the Sport Development Committee group members. The committee was constructed to include a wide range of internal partners to develop the sporting landscape.

Once this support offer was in place, it allowed for the internal recruitment of student athletes. As previously discussed, prior to the introduction of the Sport Tutorship model there were three identified student athletes therefore detecting others currently studying at the University was deemed a priority. Internal communication channels were
utilised. Three methods were primarily used, the Sport Development Committee, the project assistant attending lectures in various departments, allowing engagement with staff and student groups and internal intranet communication that would be viewed by staff and students. These methods identified a further ten student athletes. One-to-one meetings were held with each student athlete, this allowed an understanding to be gained of their sport, the demand surrounding it and how they feel this support could assist them. As the student athletes competed in varying sports, it became clear that varying levels of support would be required. Each student athlete identified they would find an increase in academic based support, including assistance with time-management and scheduling useful. This identification of student athletes further recognised that the process of implementation was a continuous learning progression and several developments would be made during its course.

e) Internal recruitment of student athletes

Once this support offer was in place, it allowed for the internal recruitment of student athletes. As previously discussed, prior to the Sport Tutorship model being introduced there were three identified student athletes at the University therefore identifying student athletes currently studying at the University was deemed a priority. Internal communication
channels were utilised. Three methods were primarily used, the Sport Development Committee, the project assistant attending lectures in various departments, allowing engagement with staff and student groups and internal intranet communication that would be viewed by staff and students. These methods identified a further ten student athletes. One-to-one meetings were held with each student athlete, this allowed an understanding to be gained of their sport, the demand surrounding it and how they feel this support could assist them.

As the student athletes competed in varying sports, it became clear that varying levels of support would be required. Each student athlete identified they would find an increase in academic based support, including assistance with time-management and scheduling useful. This identification of student athletes further recognised that the process of implementation was a continuous learning progression and several developments would be made during its course.

After recruiting internally for student athletes, the attention then focussed on external recruitment. The use of the University’s marketing channels including website, blogs and articles, Trinity Sport promotional information, prospectus and open days were all vital in sharing the new model with external partners and prospective students. The possibility of promoting via National Governing Bodies (NGB) also arose. Several NGB’s provide information packages that are distributed to academies and performance teams consisting of the support available at various Universities. This was an excellent platform to utilise as recognition from NGB’s surrounding our package is a positive step in the progression and promotion of Leeds Trinity University’s support offer.

f) Workshops

As discussed previously, the Sport Tutor was responsible for guiding student athletes in their development of key skills that held life-long value (Aquilina, 2013; Brown et al., 2015). These key skills were advanced through one-to-one meetings with Sport Tutors but also four developmental workshops, the workshop topics emergent from the focus initial focus group. Four workshops were run over a two year period (figure 6), each
workshop targeted a specific area of development for the student athlete. All four workshops provoked discussion between student athletes to allow them to compare their experiences with other student athletes. It also allowed them to understand the varying levels of support required and how the development of specific knowledge and skills could reduce the demands placed on them on their dual career journey. The workshops were key in the implementation of the Sport Tutorship model as they provided feedback, increased awareness of the model both internally and externally and also, assisted meeting the requirements of the student athletes.

g) Consultation Process

During the implementation process, the Sport Tutorship project assistant, distributed a consultation form to student athletes. The aim of this consultation was to allow student athletes to confidentially share their experiences with the project assistant regarding their initial interactions with the Sport Tutorship model. This provided a vast array of thoughts
on the model, some utilised it in a positive manner that allowed them to continue their dual-career option. Others acknowledged the support system but noted they had managed sufficiently therefore had not needed to utilise it. These returns were positive as it demonstrated that there was a high level of awareness surrounding the Sport Tutorship model.

After consideration and reflection on this consultation regarding varying engagement with the support, it was decided that engagement with Sport Tutors would be student athlete led. Due to the varying levels of support, Sport Tutors were advised to maintain regular contact with student athletes to ensure they were managing sufficiently. This approach ensured the model was not over-bearing resulting in extra demand being placed on student athletes. Instead the student athlete led approach promoted a responsibility for the student athlete and their Sport Tutor was a supportive figure who could be utilised if required.

The steps identified highlight Leeds Trinity University’s methodology in introducing the Sport Tutorship model. As there was no previous support offer for student athletes it was a challenging process however on reflection the University now offer a strong individualised support system. The barriers and difficulties faced in the implementation process is to be discussed below.

2.2. Barriers and difficulties

As mentioned, there were several barriers and difficulties faced during the implementation process of the Sport Tutorship model at Leeds Trinity University. Throughout the two and a half year process four major barriers were identified (figure 7), these barriers were dealt with appropriately and have contributed to the learning and development of the Sport Tutorship model.

a) Lack of identified staff member for delivery

One of the initial barriers was the lack of an identified staff member to implement the Sport Tutorship model. This barrier emerged through the University having no support structure in place for student athletes.
Meaning that no staff within the sport services were contracted to work with student athletes. The implementation of the Sport Tutor model began with no designated staff member therefore the causing delays in the implementation process. A suitable staff member was selected from the Sport Development team and additional hours were added to their contract. Their main role would be to administer the project under the supervision of the Project Lead. In Universities where a support structure is already in place, this barrier would not have occurred however for Leeds Trinity University, this introduction was entirely new. Therefore no previous staffing resources were directed towards support student athletes. This created an awareness within the University regarding the importance of supporting student athletes.

b) Structure of higher education performance sport
   Leeds Trinity University’s status amongst competitor Universities in relation to performance sport and the performance sport structure in England can be considered barriers to our implementation process. Across the country there is a strong structure for the development of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barriers</th>
<th>Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of identified staff member to deliver implementation of Sport Tutorship model</td>
<td>Identification of Sport Tutorship Project Assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of Higher Education performance sport</td>
<td>Producing a unique offer academic based offer that varied from competitor Universities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation in relation to performance sport</td>
<td>Marketing and promotions of Sport Tutorship model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Communications</td>
<td>Project Assistant opening internal communication channels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: A breakdown of barriers and solutions.
student athletes in Higher Education. The Talented Athlete Scholarship Scheme (TASS) is funded by Sport England, who are a non-departmental public body under the Department for Culture, Media and Sport. TASS creates partnerships between talented athletes, educational institutions and national governing bodies of sport (TASS, ND; Aquilina, 2013). There are 27 TASS accredited sites across England. Leeds Trinity University is not one of those however the two other higher educational institutes in the city of Leeds are accredited sites. The University of Leeds and Leeds Beckett University are two very well established institutions in relation to sport. Both these Institutions have received a significant investment into the development of performance sport. As each TASS accredited site is allocated academic, national training centres and professional set-ups to provide student athletes with a tailored approach to their academic and sporting progressions (Aquilina, 2013). Therefore competing against this image has proved difficult as Leeds Trinity University had no investment in supporting student athletes. Student athletes often do not consider Leeds Trinity University, particularly as previously there was no support offer at the institution. The introduction of a unique model for support, through Sport Tutorship has allowed Leeds Trinity University to become recognised for being capable of supporting student athletes and offering a unique model. Therefore the performance sport landscape in England offers a remarkable system however it was considered a barrier to the implementation of the Sport Tutorship model.

c) Internal communication channels

Another large barrier that the introduction of the Sport Tutorship model had to overcome was educating students and colleagues internally. It was widely known that with no support structure in place prior to the Sport Tutorship model being introduced, student athletes could not be fully catered for. Support was provided on an ad-hoc basis upon the individual staff member’s choice or willingness. This also created issues as a consistent approach was not being offered. The Sport Tutorship project assistant had to ensure that the introduction of this model was communicated across the University to all staff members, acting as a
referral service for current student athletes and those considering studying at Leeds Trinity University in the future. Educating the institution on the change in supporting student athletes was challenging however student athletes are now emerging from departments wider than those studying sport. This indicates progress however this work continued throughout the entirety of the Sport Tutorship project. Ensuring communication channels remain open and fluid are vital in achieving institutional awareness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer a unique model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create an offer unique to your institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure a student-athlete led approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of a supportive environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of awareness of support access routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assign a designated staff member to implement Sport Tutor model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To recruit and retain student-athletes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A combined approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The use of Sport Development staff knowledge and expertise alongside academic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 8: Recommendations to institutes considering the Sport Tutorship model.*

2.3. Recommendations and lessons learned

Throughout the two year implementation several contributing factors allowed the Sport Tutorship model to be successfully implemented at Leeds Trinity University (Figure 8). These factors form four recommendations that should be considered by institutions who are considering implementing the Sport Tutorship model, particularly those of a similar size and sporting landscape.

a) Unique model

After consultation with student athletes, it became evident that an over-estimation had occurred in relation to the amount of contact time student athletes would require with their Sport Tutors. As discussed in the methodology, a consultation process led to finding that student
athletes utilised the support in different manners. With this in mind, it is recommended that the support is very much student athlete led. However the Sport Tutors were still advised to keep in contact with student athletes to monitor whether the student athletes were coping sufficiently however the model was careful to avoid an over-bearing approach. It was reported in the consultation that the Sport Tutor contact instilled a sense of backing from staff and the University behind the athlete. It was noted that the model led to student athletes feeling that the University held a sense of pride in relation to their achievements. This positivity and backing from both the University and student athletes is recommended as it allowed for the successful development of the model.

b) Student-led approach

After consultation with student athletes, it became evident that an over-estimation had occurred in relation to the amount of contact time student athletes would require with their Sport Tutors. As discussed in the methodology, a consultation process led to finding that student athletes utilised the support in different manners. With this in mind, it is recommended that the support is very much student athlete led. However the Sport Tutors were still advised to keep in contact with student athletes to monitor whether the student athletes were coping sufficiently however the model was careful to avoid an over-bearing approach. It was reported in the consultation that the Sport Tutor contact instilled a sense of backing from staff and the University behind the athlete. It was noted that the model led to student athletes feeling that the University held a sense of pride in relation to their achievements. This positivity and backing from both the University and student athletes is recommended as it allowed for the successful development of the model.

The student athlete led approach is recommended, therefore a key element of this being successful was the creation of awareness of the support available and the relevant access routes. If a student athlete is not coping sufficiently, they know the route to support. Therefore placing responsibility on the student athlete to be accountable for themselves and their progress. If required, they would seek support via making
an appointment with their Sport Tutor who can systematically work through their issues to find a common solution. This recommendation of a student athlete led approach, with the correct guidance and support has been beneficial to the student athletes at Leeds Trinity University.

c) Assign a designated staff member for implementation & combined approach

It is recommended that a designated member of staff leads on the project in relation to the recruitment and retention of student athletes. Particularly for smaller institutions, these responsibilities were worked into a current employee’s contract within the Sport Development team. This allowed the project to include a sport development perspective too, rather than just the academic. Leeds Trinity University recommend this dual input and perspective, considering the English sport performance climate where many elite athlete programmes are placed within Sport Development departments. The addition of academic perspectives within this Sport Tutorship model ensured it is unique and considers their academic career unlike the majority of models that support student athletes. This dual approach ensures that student athletes have a strong support model with the correct expertise and knowledge base.

After the experience of implementing the Sport Tutorship model in a small higher education Institution, it is recommended that institutions of this scale do not attempt to compete with those well-established larger institutions but instead offer a unique contribution to the supporting of student athletes. The introduction of the Sport Tutorship model does exactly this, it does not aim to copy or replicate but instead produces an innovative method of supporting student athletes and ensuring that potential student athletes can choose to study at any higher education establishment and be successful.

2.4. Sustainability

The Sport Tutorship model implemented has develop throughout the two year period to become sustainable. The main element of ensuring the
models sustainability is to have structures in place to allow the continuation of the Sport Tutor role. This tutor time must be managed and worked into the Sport Tutors workload planners. The two year implementation process has allowed the model to be introduced and developed, with the overcoming of barriers and difficulties to ensure the model’s sustainability. The support internally from the University has contributed greatly as many are happy to support student athletes and value the support and expertise of this unique Sport Tutorship model.

The Sport Tutorship model has University-wide backing ensuring it will continue to support student athletes after the implementation period. A large element of the model’s sustainability is ensuring we can continue to support student athletes in a manner that is beneficial to them. The implementation process have been a two year learning and development process that has led to the formulation of a sustainable and unique student athlete support offer. This model will continue to operate in the coming years and it has become a staple part of Leeds Trinity University’s sporting provision that.

3. University of Malta (UM)

The University of Malta (UM) is a publicly funded University which was founded in 1769. Over 12,000 Maltese students and 1000 international students attend courses up to EQF level 8 in line with the Bologna Process. The University has 14 faculties and a number of interdisciplinary Institutes and centres providing expertise related to the needs of the country.

The Institute for Physical Education and Sport (IPES) was set up in 2002 to provide courses in Physical Education, Physical Activity and Sport and to carry out research in various fields related to these areas. IPES also services the Faculty of Education in the preparation of Physical Education teachers since its establishment in 1978. The Institute provides a continuous professional development programme and cooperates with various local associations in the training of their sports personnel. It also collaborates with many European Universities and contributes actively in International sport related associations.
3.1. Methodology

a) Establishing the target group for a pilot study. Before establishing a sport-tutorship model and piloting it out with a group of student-athletes, the need was felt to identify the target population. We wanted the first tutorship model to target the group that needed it most, that is, student-athletes who compete at National and International level, and who dedicate many hours of training a week. Since no database of student-athletes was available at UM, a google form was sent to all the University students, inviting student-athletes to send us their details, the sport practiced and the number of hours of weekly training. Eighteen student-athletes from eight faculties replied and we invited them to an introductory workshop. Concurrently, we identified sport tutors who were interested to support student-athletes, and we invited them to join the pilot project.

b) Institution and national bodies’ awareness. Raising awareness about the need of a sport tutorship model to support student-athletes at University was the next important step. A workshop targeting all stakeholders with a direct interest in such a project was held. Representatives from SportMalta (Malta Sports Council), the Maltese Olympic Committee (MOC), the Malta University Sports Club (MUSC), and the University Registrar’s office attended the workshop, together with student-athletes and lecturers. During this workshop, the EU guidelines on the Dual Career of athletes (2008) were presented and discussed, with a particular reference to examples of good practice in other Universities which could be emulated locally. A representative from Registrar’s office explained the UM regulations that are particularly relevant for student-athletes, and the support available, together with the procedures to be followed. Present and past student-athletes also presented their challenges and experiences and an open discussion followed.

c) Studying perceptions of student-athletes. In order to understand further the needs of student-athletes and to cater for them in this project, a study on their perceptions was carried out through a questionnaire. The results were presented in a workshop for student-athletes and lecturers,
which also dealt with new technologies available for teaching and learning at University.

d) A pilot project. An open invitation to join a pilot sport tutorship project was launched. Nine students from two faculties accepted our invitation and were assigned two sport-tutors who had accepted our original invitation. A meeting was held to discuss the procedures student-athletes had to follow when they required any kind of support from their sport tutors and the project started.

e) Evaluation of the pilot project. Four months later, an evaluation of the pilot study was carried out, in preparation to extend this project to all the faculties. The same questionnaire distributed at the beginning of the project was sent to the nine students participating in the pilot project, aiming to study any changes in perceptions and to evaluate the support given.

f) Creating a database of student-athletes. Since a good database of student-athletes was still not available, all local Sports Associations were contacted, asking them to send us a list of athletes who attended University. However, the response was poor and some associations sent a whole list of athletes without specifying the names of the students.

g) Extending the programme to all faculties. In order to extend this project to other faculties, a new call was issued inviting new student-athletes and sport tutors, who are either academics or non-academics. An administrator from registrar’s office offered to support us in this project and we started discussing the best way to identify student-athletes. Two seminars were held, one for sport tutors and another one for tutors and student-athletes. During the first seminar we explained the role of sport-tutors and also discussed the contribution of faculties, while during the second one we discussed any challenges of student-athletes and possible solutions.

h) Inviting Deans to nominate a sport-tutor. Since our target was to have a sport tutor from every faculty, we contacted Deans in faculties where we had student-athletes interested in this project, but we did not have a sport-tutor. We finally managed to have a sport-tutor in every faculty where we had student-athletes, for a total of 10 faculties catering for 20 student-athletes.
i) Individual meetings with student-athletes and sport-tutors in every faculty. Meetings with every sport-tutor and respective faculty student-athletes followed and the procedures were discussed.

j) Meeting Rectorate and Parliamentary Secretary for Sport. A meeting was held with Rector, explaining the aims of this project, and the importance of including such a project in the UM Sports Strategy. We also explained the aims of this project to the Parliamentary Secretary for Sport and suggested its inclusion in the National Sports Policy.

3.2. Barriers and difficulties

a) The first challenge we faced was to identify the student-athletes attending University. Sending a google form to all the students and inviting student-athletes to send their details was a good start. However, if a list of all the student-athletes is required, the best way to go about it is to include a question on the University entry form.

b) Another challenge was to attract sport tutors to support students. The best way we found was to contact personally tutors who have an interest in sport. However, if this project is to be extended across University, such a role has to be acknowledged by HR management and an official role is assigned in every faculty and institute. All respective Deans and Directors would then appoint a sport tutor at the beginning of every academic year.

3.3. Recommendations and lessons learned

1. Although all student-athletes acknowledge that it’s challenging to balance their academic work and sporting demands and to cope successfully with both, some student-athletes choose not to seek support and not to come forward to join such a programme. Therefore, this should not be seen as a weakness in such a project. However, using all possible ways to promote such a project is important. This may include traditional promotional methods, such as posting printed material in every faculty’s notice-board.
2. Although the support given by sport tutors is very important, particularly if it is related to University demands, this might not be sufficient. Such a project needs to involve clubs and associations from its start, as in some cases they are putting too much pressure on their athletes and do not always understand the demands of academic studies.

### 3.4. Sustainability

The following steps will ensure that the dual career programme will be sustainable, and offered in all faculties, institutes and centres at UM:

1) Set a meeting with the Rector, Human Resources Management, and Registrar to discuss the recommendations put forward by student-athletes and the setting up of a UM dual-career programme committee described below. It is being proposed that every faculty and Institute will nominate a sport tutor at the beginning of every academic year and that this person will be allocated at least an hour a week in his/her workload to dedicate to student-athletes.

2) Set up a UM Dual-Career Support Programme committee (DCSP). This committee will run and monitor this programme and liaise with the Registrar’s office re any requests for changes in dates of exams to attend International competitions. The committee members will be as follows:
   - A dual-career co-ordinator from the Institute for PE and Sport to chair the UM DCP committee and to co-ordinate this programme. This role will be taken by the Director of IPES, the Sports Manager or their designate.
   - A dual-career officer at Registrar’s/Admissions office to collect data on new entrants and current student-athletes at all levels (not only elite) and to communicate with sport-tutors. A space will be added in the UM application form where details on involvement in sport, level and number of hours of training will be included.
   - A dual-career counsellor at the Counselling Services Unit. The counsellor’s duty will be to guide student-athletes applying for a UM Course and to introduce the dual-career support programme.
   - A student-athlete representative will represent student-athletes on the UM DCSP committee.
3) The committee will organise an initial meeting every October for all sport-tutors, student-athletes, Deans, Directors and Faculty Officers, sport associations and the Olympic Committee.

4) An evaluation meeting will be held at the end of every semester, while regular feedback will be sought through an online forum and individual meetings when requested.

4. University Of Rome Foro Italico (URFI)

The University of Rome Foro Italico is the fourth public university in Rome and is the heir of the Italian Academy for Physical Education founded in 1928. Its urban campus is located in the northern part of Rome, in the historical area of Foro Italico, a 10,000 square meters venue that host the Olympic Stadium and prestigious annual tournaments and competitions.

The University is the only Italian teaching and research institution entirely devoted to the study of sport and physical activity. It is provided with new sport and training facilities along with modern laboratories which support the development of research applied to the interdisciplinary scholarly field of Sport Sciences.

The University is a community of 2,300 students and about 60 scholars who share the ethical and social values of sport. The University includes one Department of Motor, Human and Health Sciences and one Doctoral School which offer courses within the three levels of Bologna Process. These courses are: Sport and Motor Sciences (first level); Sport Management, Adapted Physical Activity (two courses, one in Italian and one in English), Sport Science and Technique (second level); a Ph.D. Program in Sciences of Human Movement and Sport (third level).

The University is very active in the field of international relations, cooperation and projects development with more than 160 agreements with the most important European and worldwide academic and research centers focused on the study of sport and physical activity. Recently, the University has signed an explicit agreement with Italian Olympic
Committee (CONI) aimed to foster active and retired athletes from its federations to follow a bachelor’s degree course in Management and Sport Sciences.

4.1 Methodology

Foro Italico’s research and implementation unit of the project has carried out its actions utilizing a method aimed at coupling theory and practice. After a deep analysis of scholarly literature retrieved from psychology and sport sciences scientific databases, a reflection on experiences and good practices of tutorship concerning the dual career of student athletes attending Italian and foreign universities was developed. Through workshops and so-called “world café” events stimulated by public lectures and discussions, were identified the main legal and pedagogical issues concerning the dual career along with new learning models for tutoring student athletes. Moreover, were identified the characteristics the psychological and educational features of the good tutor and a more efficient online/distance tutoring and teaching.

The Italian research and implementation unit has found a way to combine Grounded Theory and Action Research seen as hermeneutical approaches capable of better implementing the ESTPORT project. The philosophy through which the ESTPORT has been approached was that one of a work-in-progress project whose main aim was to explore, interpret and understand the issues and topics relating to the tutorship and the dual career of student-athletes as pedagogical issues. The questionnaire build by the international units of ESTPORT was administered and used to collect, understand and interpret data and results from the research and promotion activities of the Italian group.

The results were disseminated results through publications, public conferences and by trying to structure experimental models of implementation of active tutorship in other educational contexts as a future “spin-off” of ESTPORT.

In this regard, the University of Rome Foro Italico has structured and put into practice a particular model for tutoring the Student-Athletes.
The model was called DU.C.A.S.T.UN (Dual Career Academic Support and Tutoring Unit). The model was based on a systemic epistemological approach which tends to see the dual-career of the Student-Athletes as something which is more (and greater) than the sum of its parts. We could say that tutoring is a part of the system and forms a subsystem in itself.

We have focused our research and implementation on new e-tools based on Web 2.0 seen as a means capable of better tutoring S-As and interpreted the issues concerning the dual-career of S-As in light of critical pedagogical models (Donald Schön model of the Reflective Practitioner and the Community of Learning). Our university has gradually taken awareness and emphasized not only the fact how many victories of its students are won in sport, but also how many of the athletes with the help of sport have learned to become winners in their further life.

Also, our research unit has taken awareness of the importance of coupling quantitative and qualitative research methods to deeply grasp the issues dealing with the DC of S-As. Also, the qualitative methodology can be effective to collect data and, in our opinion, life stories and narratives—first-person accounts of experiences must be the first source of data. The research steps to collect data should focus on: significant events in personal education/professional career of the S-A; critical moments in her/his sport career; personal, professional growth; future intentions in personal and vocational career or life after her/his career.

Moreover, the methodology we have used focused on the Ethical issues in tutoring the student-athlete. In this regard, through the word café methodology, we have tried to answer the moral and philosophical question whether student-athletes deserve to be mentored, supported and assisted during and after their professional career or not. In addition, we have identified the characteristics of the best tutor as a person and learning assistant in light of student-athletes needs and expectations and tried to look at the D-C of S-As under the lens of lifelong learning education. In this regard, we have also compared advantages and disadvantages of utilizing e-tutorship when assisting dual-career and post-career student-athletes, we have concluded that Web 2.0-based tools (mainly social networks) can be efficient and safe lifelong tutoring environments for them. These tools
can also be used to build learning environments in other contexts such as secondary of high schools attended by S-As.

The implementation of the tutoring system at URFI (University of Rome Foro Italico) we have finally defined, structured, and fully implemented – as said before – a specific pedagogical and learning model (model DU.C.A.S.T.UN). We have fully experimented and applied the model to a group of 27 student-athletes attending an intensive bachelor’s degree course on sport sciences (in Italian, “laurea di I livello”) offered by URFI in cooperation with the Italian Olympic Committee.

For the implementation of the tutorship model and as a research environment and scenario, a feedback and evaluation group was created. Du.C.A.S.T.Un (that is to say, Dual Career Academic Support and Tutoring Unit is a flexible, systemic, dynamic and smart model which acted as a development and implementation environment both for the tutorship and the dual-career of student-athletes. In our research, we have shown how Du.C.A.S.T.Un can work and be run efficiently with a group of student-athlete which ranges from 12 to 15. The key features of the model consist of being made of components which act as interactive parts of the same system. The effectiveness of the system is guaranteed by continuous feedbacks and communication among the parts. The model is based on the utilization of Web 2.0-based communication tools, the critical thinking and the mutual helping through learning and tutoring active communities. The scope of the model is to highly motivate the S-A and to involve her/him in the learning process through tasks based on emotional involvement. Principal components/actors of Du.C.A.S.T.Un were:

1) Instructors. These were three teachers selected on a voluntary base from the faculty of the course. The three instructors have: teachers 1, background in Psychopedagogy; teacher 2, background in Sports Sciences; teacher 3, background in foreign languages and e-learning. The three instructors acted as mentors and learning facilitators for the S-A.

2) Tutors. They were selected on a voluntary base from the administration staff/Ph.D. students of the University They have a background in sport sciences (one of them is a sports managers and was a high-level athlete). The primary function of the tutor in the DUCASTUN consisted in:
METHODOLOGY OF IMPLEMENTATION THE SPORT TUTOR MODEL

– Acting as facilitators for the symmetric interactions between instructors and S-As;
– Assisting the S-A for what it refers to examination dates, legal issues, and regulations concerning the attendance of the course;
– Supporting the Didactic Manager in her/his functions;
– Maintaining communication with URFI’s tutoring and job placement office and keeping the S-As informed continuously about any changes that can occur in the course.

3) Didactic manager. She had a background in sport and management sciences. Her function was to guarantee the quality of the course in front of the Italian Olympic Committee and the URFI through a continuous based-on-feedbacks from S-As monitoring action of the tutoring process.

The goal of DUCASTUN WAS to create an effective and comfortable tutoring and learning environment for the S-A and to help her/him link academic life and professional development. That is to say, an environment based on distributed and lifelong learning models in which knowledge is never just a mere theoretical acquiring but it comes as a result of practical experiences critically understood and interpreted.

The implementation of our tutorship model was carried out through a hermeneutical methodology focused on the following main steps:
– Description and interpretation of the existing situation related to tutorship and the dual career of student-athletes;
– Penetration of the reasons that brought the current situation to the current form (analysis of interests and ideologies it implies);
– Sketch of a possible agenda for improving a more active and efficient tutorship system;
– Evaluation of how to put this system into practice.

In this regard, we have stressed how complicated the profile of the S-A is. The Student-Athlete looks like a Centaur. The student-athlete is neither an athlete nor a student if we conceive of her/him as a person who uses to entirely devote herself/himself to the full activities that both sport and education imply.
In this regard, one of the main challenges relating to the dual career of athletes consists of identifying effective learning and tutorship models based on virtual and distance communication. The main philosophies which inspired our model and its implementation were those of Personalism, Idealism, and Pragmatism. Within these philosophies, student-athletes’ mentors and tutors act as role models which have to be emulated and have to offer students/trainees opportunities to improve their life skills. We developed the actions of the project convinced that the scope of a valid tutorship system is always to help the student-athlete to develop as an autonomous and independent person according to Howards Gardner’s theory of multiple intelligence and that knowledge and learning have to be always oriented by values and virtues, implying a practical application.

4.2. Barriers and difficulties

The main barriers encountered by our research group for the implementation of the tutorship model were those concerning the lack of awareness about the issues and problems faced by student-athletes in our university. The case of our institution – a sport university – can be seen as symptomatic of difficulties and problems which tend to occur in all the European University system frequently. From a legal perspective, in Italian universities, these difficulties are increased and amplified by legal constraints and administrative rules which tend not to favor the process of insertion of the student-athlete in the system of traditional university courses. To be clear, most of the times student-athletes face several problems in following these courses. They are forced to combine sport activities and competitions with their duties as university students without any help from the same university they attend which, usually, tend to focus on a traditional and non-flexible teaching and learning system without any support from distance and Web 2.0-based education.

Other difficulties concern the didactical methodologies used for delivering the content of subject matters to student-athletes and the selection and recruitment of tutors and mentors due to the competencies
and skills they have to own to cover their complex role as learning facilitators, counselors, and expert in administrative support.

4.3. Recommendations and lessons learned

To be clear, it is necessary not only to select, recruit and train excellent tutors for supporting the tutorship for the dual-career of S-As at URFI but also to identify instructors from its department who are highly motivated more sensitive towards the problems faced by that particular category of students. These instructors have to be provided with an open and flexible mentality, to be capable of managing new distance and Web 2.0-based learning tools, to put themselves on the line and, finally, to face the challenge from social networks as learning environments. We have to take awareness that not all instructors from a university department can adequately cover the function and role required to a facilitator of student-athletes’ learning in the complex and fragmented scenarios of modern education. However, we are convinced that this capability of adaptation by instructors and tutors will come to nothing and all our efforts to enhance the quality of instruction for student-athletes will be useless if the legal constraints and barriers which oppose to the enhancement of this quality are not rethought by ours and all European universities in light of a more democratic, dialogic and inclusive practice. To be clear, there will not be any future for the student-athletes’ dual career until those constraints and barriers are completely removed and overcome (or rethought in light of a more systemic philosophical approach).

4.4. Sustainability

Feedbacks from S-As collected from surveys, interviews, and questionnaires have shown that the DUCASTUN model is effective and highly motivating for our S-As at Foro Italico. This model is feasible, and its application can be extended to all of the URFI student-athletes. Thanks to ETSPORT project, URFI has become aware that it had a tutoring model for its S-As which needed to be better identified, improved, developed and
implemented. Moreover, our university has understood the importance of training new tutors for putting the DUCASTUN model into practice and transforming it into an efficient and permanent tutoring environment. In a nutshell, it is crucial to make all URFI instructors aware of the importance of tutoring their S-As in the best possible way, to teach them to utilize new e-communication and teaching tools and select those who have or are more interested in developing specific competencies to tutoring S-As. We have identified particular styles of tutoring, and in the future, it will be necessary to find a way to put them into practice. We are convinced that these styles have to be shown by every tutor of student athletes.

In conclusion, motivation, knowledge, and comprehension of the subject matter the student-athlete is studying as well as of the process of acquiring them, have to be the pillars of an effective system to tutor and mentor student-athletes. This system has to help them develop problem-solving skills and to discover how to better understand things. Therefore, in the future, our aim will be to implement the tutorship system by teaching tutors to be and act as better tutors, that is to say, learning facilitators and human beings capable of using the emotional capital to motivate their tutees.
“ESTPORT” MODEL 2.0
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In this chapter it will be discovered that the same project produced different learning depending on the country or the University that hosted the Workshop. This is because differences of laws and academic and sports regulations, made each University learn from its real perspective of action and its daily context.

That is what a European program like ESTPORT is about, helping, sharing, promoting, each one from their possibilities and realities. For this purpose, the Workshops were held to bring together the different partners of the project and, among all, to seek an improvement in the academic and sports life of the European Student-Athletes. Therefore, below is a summary of all the topics and final ideas drawn from each workshop. The main findings taken from all the partners are:

- How to engage the lecturers in the short-term with what we can do to support athletes? - Sports Tutor informs lecturer that they need to out an oral summary on the VLE to go with the lectures for sessions the athletes will miss but good practice to do for all sessions but perhaps unrealistic at this stage but something to work towards as a university policy. Currently at Leeds Trinity the policy is that there must be a summary of a lecture post session as a minimum in addition to the power point slides or equivalent at least 24 hours before the lecture.

- Develop a Moodle site specifically for Dual Career Athletes to incorporate all issues and support as a place for the students (or should it be Facebook instead or as well?) - set up a forum for participants to discuss this and to share issues, successes, progress, winnings/news. A one-stop shop for these students on all their needs. Best if could involve students in the design of this through focus groups.

- Adapt student studies where need – students need flexibility from universities and understanding.
Next workshops to include ‘externals’ if haven’t already e.g. National sports federations/governing bodies to help publish the project as well as gain their input.

Organise student exchanges for dual career athletes - very important for student-athletes to feel part of the student experience so maybe they could look at their training schedule to see if they can fit in an overseas trip.

Need to review practice in each country as well as the research.

We need to set one inclusion criteria for research based on a unified definition of what dual-career athletes are – some universities have a wider inclusion criteria that will affect the results of the questionnaire.

1. **Sport tutor figure**

The core element of the European Sport Tutorship Programme is the Sport Tutor. Some of the key points and main features that have been highlighted are:

- We need to help Students/Athletes to achieve academic and sports excellence
- We need to create training for these sports tutors
- The academic performance of these Student/Athletes must be observed.
- It is beneficial to groups the Students/Athletes as they can help each other, they have the same needs.
- Helping these Students/Athletes is FAIR, is in the DNA of our University.
- From the UCAM one of the most valuable inputs offered is to use the Google Apps that the University makes available to students enrolled, with a capacity of 25 GB.

- The Google Drive is therefore a common tool to easily interconnect the tutor and the Student Athletes or the Student Tutor and the Student Athletes, through this UCAM platform, academic information can be shared between all of them.
- It is also important that we are allowed the use of an integrated tool like is video conferences through Hangout.

- Another way of attending several Students Athletes with information and interaction platforms are the unknown Google-Sites where they can develop processes related to sports tutoring in a safe environment and away from the instant messaging that sometimes occupies a personal time on the part of the Tutor, Although the dedication to a high level athlete is and should be wide and adapted.

- There are many tools that sports instructors can use.

- As novelty are presented: Padlet and Symboloo.

- Blackboard Collaborate and Matterhorn are apps that allow collaborative actions and even record classes up to 2 hours in duration and are examples of free software that allow students to be in contact with their Tutor or Student Tutor in a learning environment.

  - It emphasizes the importance of the involvement of the management teams and other teachers to speed up the tutorial work.

  - Strategies are presented to favor the tasks for the student athletes. The most outstanding is that the work of the subject is fragmented in parts so that the student will see their progress. This can be done through computer applications.

  - Athletes value the importance of the Tutor as high. They see it very necessary to complete their studies.

  - They usually communicate with their Tutor through telephone or email and in gaps or when the Tutor quotes them in person.

  - The ICT are seeing by them as necessary to facilitate the relationship with the Tutor and the teachers of the subjects. Nevertheless, they emphasize that they are not sufficiently approved.

  - It is very important that there is coordination between the tutor and the coach.

  - What they require of the Tutor mainly is: orientation on enrolment and choice of subjects, postponement of exams, schedules, targeting for each course.
– They demand that the tutor be a close person, who provides access to the notes.
– They value very positively the figure of the student tutor. It is the nexus of union with the reality of the class that they cannot experience.
– Improvement proposals:
  - An informative meeting at the beginning of the course where the duties, rights and obligations are reported.
  - Requirements in terms of academic results to continue with their scholarships. The requirement does not have to be only for qualifications, but also to reach the goals planned with the Tutor.
  - Possibility to recognize their competences as athletes and validate parts of subjects.
– In general, both tutors and athletes emphasize the importance that the figure of the sports tutor and his actions to be developed are institutionalized.

2. Dual career

In addition, some of the main findings on the dual career topic are:
– The athlete-students claim:
  - To manage the methodologies and tools to the requirements from each sport and grade.
  - Teachers know the environment from sports and athletes.
  - Flexible tools that allow a flow interaction with teacher.
  - To work to improve the athletes organization and planning capacities to favor the sport and academic performance.
– The governments should:
  - To make aware the importance of formations since beginning.
  - To help athletes to find a first work.
  - To make a regulation clear and explicit on dual career athlete-student at university level.
– The regulation on dual career athlete-student at university level should display:
- To recognize their experiences as athletes.
- To make a personal sports tutorship body
- To coordinate the government at administrative, sports, and educational (university) level.
- To earmark money to fund the study of athletes-students.
- To provide access to social security (as amateur athletes).
- To create titles adapted to athletes-students.
- To create a body to certify the right dual career.
- To create a certify as Sport University.
- To certify the universities focused on athletes-student dual career.
- To provide legal profit to universities that support athletes-student dual career.
- To determine the athletes aim to be funded by the dual career sport program at university level.

– News technologies are key tool in dual career. They have not limits, the limits is determined by user
– It is necessary an online platform that allow the access to: athletes, teacher, coach and sport tutor.
– The athlete-students claim an online platform that allows:
  - To access to information quickly and in short time periods.
  - To adapt it to mobile tools.
  - To train the stakeholder to use the platform.
  - To differentiate different alumni types: online, face-to-face classes.
  - To generate reports to the stakeholder.
  - To show the athlete planning as athlete and student.
– The athletes-student perceives the sport as a vehicle to study at university.
– Universities can beneficiate of the image from athletes.
– The athlete-students claim:
  - A mobility practices through Sport-Erasmus Program according to: sport type, athlete level, planning time, athlete time, and resources in the home university.
  - A personal tutor as athletes and not only a sport tutor.
  - How organize and combine studies and sport to feel an assurance environment.
- To be integrated in an athlete-student virtual community.
- To have access to recorded classes and document in several languages.
- Not have to assist to face-to-face classes.
- A body in the university to coordinate teachers with athlete-students.
- They can help their classmates by their experience and knowledge.

3. Core features of the “ESTPORT” Model 2.0.

In the last two years of ESTPORT, UCAM have delivered four workshops with different topics around the dual career. For example, about the legal and policy perspectives and e-learning technologies as a resource to improve the “dual career” of the Student-athletes. Some of the main findings and conclusions that define the ESTPORT model have been:

1. The need to recognise some of the athlete’s competences given their experience and professional career.
2. The need to create a body of paid professional sport tutors.
3. The need to create the figure of the student tutor, which is the link between the student-athlete and the other non-athlete students in the classroom.
4. The need to develop specific rules in each university to frame and support the student-athlete development. It would be interesting to set a better transversal coordination between the admission to the University, the degree and Academic Ordering, when enrolling a student and giving him coverage in a sometimes differentiated academic calendar and scheduling.

4. Contributions from experience

Following, the topics or key ideas that the different partners highlighted in the different workshops are presented. Always from the point of view of the real reality of each of the partner universities.
4.1. Catholic University San Antonio (Spain)

- We need to help Students/Athletes to achieve academic and sports excellence.
- We need to create training for these sports tutors.
- The academic performance of these Student/Athletes must be observed.
- It is beneficial to groups the Students/Athletes as they can help each other, they have the same needs.
- Helping these Students/Athletes is FAIR, is in the DNA of our University.
- How can we help the student athlete to optimize their time?
- From the UCAM one of the most valuable inputs offered is to use the Google Apps that the University makes available to students enrolled, with a capacity of 25 GB.
  - The Google Drive is therefore a common tool to easily interconnect the tutor and the Student Athletes or the Student Tutor and the Student Athletes, through this UCAM platform, academic information can be shared between all of them.
  - It is also important that we are allowed the use of an integrated tool like is video conferences through Hangout.
  - Another way of attending several Students Athletes with information and interaction platforms are the unknown Google-Sites where they can develop processes related to sports tutoring in a safe environment and away from the instant messaging that sometimes occupies a personal time on the part of the Tutor. Although the dedication to a high level athlete is and should be wide and adapted.
  - There are many tools that sports instructors can use.
  - As novelty are presented: Padlet and Symbaloo.
  - Blackboard Collaborate and Matterhorn are apps that allow collaborative actions and even record classes up to 2 hours in duration and are examples of free software that allow students to be in contact with their Tutor or Student Tutor in a learning environment.
- It emphasizes the importance of the involvement of the management teams and other teachers to speed up the tutorial work.
- Strategies are presented to favor the tasks for the student athletes. The most outstanding is that the work of the subject is fragmented in parts so that the student will see their progress. This can be done through computer applications.
- Athletes value the importance of the Tutor as high. They see it very necessary to complete their studies.
- They usually communicate with their Tutor through telephone or email and in gaps or when the Tutor quotes them in person.
- The ICT are see by them as necessary to facilitate the relationship with the Tutor and the teachers of the subjects. Nevertheless, they emphasize that they are not sufficiently approved.
- It is very important that there is a coordination between the tutor and the coach.
- What they require of the Tutor, mainly is: orientation on enrolment and choice of subjects, postponement of exams, schedules, targeting for each course.
- They demand that the tutor be a close person, who provides access to the notes.
- They value very positively the figure of the student tutor. It is the nexus of union with the reality of the class that they cannot experience.
- Improvement proposals:
  - An informative meeting at the beginning of the course where the duties, rights and obligations are reported.
  - Requirements in terms of academic results to continue with their scholarships. The requirement does not have to be only for qualifications, but also to reach the goals planned with the Tutor.
  - Possibility to recognize their competences as athletes and validate parts of subjects.
- In general, both tutors and athletes emphasize the importance that the figure of the sports tutor and his actions to be developed are institutionalized.
- Online campus - one of the most important tools for us.
- What needs might national institutes and coaches have from universities for their/our athlete/students?
- Pedagogical considerations:
  - Lecturer needs to know the sporting context e.g. for a swimmer athlete.  
  - They need to know the calendar of their events and how this clashes with individual study programmes.  
  - Challenge is how to get this message across the universities to each individual lecturer or programme / course leader.  
  - Use of Moodle and area to chat with the students.  
  - Fluid communication from student to teacher but also teacher to student to ensure a quality learning experience e.g. using e-mail.

4.2. Foro Italico University of Rome (Italy)

- Carried out an analysis of scholarly literature and reflection on experiences and good practice of tutorship in dual career from Italian and foreign universities  
- They got their IT specialist involved in developing the VLE  
- Objective to identify the characteristics of good tutor and of a more effective online/distance tutoring and teaching. Considered:  
  - What is the tutor that you want?  
  - How do you think the e-learning tools can appeal to your learning?  
  - They used an action research approach, grounded theory approach  
  - Used interviews, focus groups and hermeneutical methodology focused on the following main steps  
  - They are focusing on the student athlete as a Centaur - half man and half animal! - athlete: student - half a student and half and athlete  
  - This centaur profile being so complex, fragmented and unstable, of course makes it difficult to identify a stable pattern of overall training and education for students  
  - Key words identified: personal motivation, self-encouragement, advice, shares knowledge, experiences, low pressure, self-discovery.
What does it mean to be a student-athlete?
It’s not easy to define across different countries but it is important to set some inclusion criteria for the purposes of research for this project and at what level of competition does that tip the balance for students to need more support?

4.3. University of Malta (Malta)

- They found using Sports Tutors who were enthusiastic in sport, play or coach worked best as they had more interest.
- Considering time management of students and helping them to work out when they would do their work around their training.
- It would help to have the names of the students ahead of the start of the year
- A policy on student-athletes - e.g. for attendance

4.4. Leeds Trinity University (United Kingdom)

- We need to find out about how best to spread the message about having a Sports Tutor and to the course tutor/personal tutor to inform them that they have student-athletes so that they also know the context and training timetables of how this clashes with study and exams.
- Use of IT to help provide:
  - A community and sense of belonging to a group, having friends is very important to students generally being happy and student-athletes can have a greater need for this as they are sometimes not able to join in with other social activities so providing things to help with this is important as a way to help their student life to be happy which impacts on their study.
  - A shared area for common problems or administrative support that they may need and a place.
- Solution: get staff to put an oral summary on Moodle after each session which answers the key questions and summarises the session.
– Use of video tutorial or what they showed as an insert of a video in a presentation.

4.5 University of Thessaly (Greece)

– Included lower level participation to others so will not be included in the research
– Marketing strategy - local media and promoting around other universities.

5. “DUCASTUN” Model (Dual Career Academic Support and Tutoring Unit)

The methodology used to implement the 2.0 tutorship system for the dual-career of URFI’s student-athletes aimed to find the better way to motivate and help them develop knowledge, comprehension, and reflection. The system has to inspire confidence and motivate the student-athlete to learn autonomously and in a critical way. What is important is focusing on the way each student-athlete acquires information, i.e. on her/his learning style, not being afraid to utilize innovative communication approaches to tutoring and fostering active study and reflection habits in them.

Nowadays, virtual and networked environments based on the Web 2.0 technologies can offer new and outstanding possibilities to better mentoring and tutoring student-athletes who follow university courses. Online tutoring is usually practiced utilizing different approaches and methodologies. Main online environments applied in tutorship of student-athletes tend to involve the utilization of VLE (Virtual Learning Environments) such as Moodle and Blackboard, or learning management systems. The ongoing evolution of Web 2.0 technologies and learning methodologies have made the process of online tutoring more accessible, friendly and easier to be carried out. This process seems to perfectly match the characteristics of the specific tutoring and mentoring for student-athletes.
The online tutoring can help student-athletes to be tutored and mentored both as individuals and as a community of learners. The system can facilitate the achievement of the student-athlete’s tutorship, that is to say: critical and self-reflexivity, independent learning, knowledge construction, transformative and collaborative learning based on groups, the community of practice, online interaction, and discussion. The current online tutoring model is based on social constructivist learning principles and critical reflective thinking.

Although the URFI had a Moodle platform for teaching part of the courses in Olympic studies and sport ethics, we decided to use Facebook as a learning platform and tutoring system for our student-athletes. Although this is a commercial networking platform, we chose it for three principal reasons: firstly, because of the advantages of its easy accessibility. Secondly, because of the dialogic and interactive nature of the relationships between the teachers and student-athletes following the course. Thirdly, in line with contemporary theories of learning online, to highlight the importance of social networking for the human learning from a constructivist ad communitarian perspective.

According to this, we decided to structure the two online courses utilizing the following e-tools both for teaching and tutoring:

- Facebook was used as the primary platform to host the content of the two courses (lessons and materials), as well as a tool for monitoring students’ activities, and to send the main messages related to the course. Moreover, the Facebook group was also utilized to stimulate the communication among participants through a real-time group.
- Whatsapp chat was used to sharing information and (video)chatting. Its primary goal was to create a friendly setting, to post comments or to ask questions which needed quick replies, and also as a venue for sharing pictures and links to videos and podcasts.
- Youtube and Vimeo channels were used to record lessons by the instructors of the courses and by other invited speakers in seminars and conferences held in the framework of the two courses.
- Spreaker platform was used to record and broadcast teachers’ lessons through podcasts.
Dropbox was used as a folder for sharing course materials, files, and documents.

Skype was used as a way of video-conferencing as well as a video chat for tutoring and final examinations.

Twitter was used for sending announcements or messages about events and seminars.

Wordpress blog was utilized as a repository for advice and alert to relevant information from the tutors of the two courses.

To evaluate and monitor the impact of the new e-learning tools and online resources on our student and post-career athletes we have carried out a study based on quali-quantitative research methods.

To verify and understand this impact, we have divided the group of URFI’S student-athletes into two subgroups of, respectively, 11 and 7 persons. We have provided the first subgroup with a tutorship system based on the e-tools and online tutoring resources mentioned above. Also, we have used a research methodology based on a simplified model of the case study and focused on the evaluation of tutoring activities related to the two courses. This assessment was carried out through methods of collecting qualitative and quantitative data, such as interviews, open and closed-ended questionnaires, and focus groups. The data were obtained:

1) Through a specific questionnaire administered to the students who attended the courses. As mentioned above, the student-athletes were divided into two groups composed of the following number of people: group 1=11; group 2=7.

2) Through two focus groups, each composed by the principal instructor responsible for the course, by an external observer, and by 5 student-athletes (5 for the first group, and 5 for the second one, so-called “control group”).

The questionnaire for students was based on a Likert scale which ranged from 1 to 5 (1 = Unacceptable, 2 = Needs Improvement 3 = Average, 4 = Good, 5 = Excellent). The questionnaire also included some open categorical questions (4, 5, 7, 8, 9) and it was composed of two main parts:
a) A first one concerning the quality of tutoring and mentoring;

b) A second one concerning the liking and satisfaction with the e-tutoring tools and online resources used in the courses.

These are some of the questions regarding the content and quality of the online tutoring:

– The efficacy of online tutoring was.
– The usefulness of online tutoring was.
– Compared to face-to-face tutorship, online tutoring was.
– Please describe the online or face-to-face tutoring activities that most enhanced your learning, guidance, and support in the courses.–
  Please explain the online or face-to-face tutoring activities that were least helpful to your learning, guidance, and support in the courses.
– Overall, I would rate the online tutorship of the two courses as.
– Please provide any additional suggestions, comments, or ideas for improving this tutorship.
– Considering your needs, did the tutorship satisfy them? (yes, no).
– Please provide any other suggestions, comments, or ideas for improving your online tutoring experience.

Among the questions related to tutors, we have included these:

– The instructors’ and tutors’ preparation, quality, and helpfulness of their feedback for this class were.
– Timely response by the tutor for your questions and help request was.
– The tutor as a discussion moderator and manager of interactions was.

Questions regarding the tutoring tools were summed up in a question that asked students which one of the following instruments (that is, Facebook, Youtube, Spreaker, Dropbox, Skype, Twitter) had to be considered as the most useful one in the course, and why.

The focus group, lasting two hours, consisted of a restricted discussion group to reflect on the main feedback from the questionnaire administered
to the student-athletes. This discussion was assisted and mediated by an external observer/researcher who acted as a moderator for the dialogue/discussion taking notes of the dialogic interactions among the participants. The discussion was intended to ascertain the veracity of the student-athletes’ opinions and answers, taking them as guidelines for discussion and critical reflection.

The evaluation by student-athletes from the first group concerning their tutors and online tutoring system quality was wholly positive. They affirmed to feel fully satisfied with the tutoring system results and gratified by the experience of being tutored and mentored online. The same thing can be said about the focus group that confirmed the results from data produced from the questionnaires administered to the first group. Instead, data from the second group have not confirmed what emerged as results from the first one. Student-athletes’ questionnaires data have provided valuable feedback for the further development of the tutoring actions. All students who attended the two courses answered the questionnaire. Results from the second group of student-athletes who were provided with a poor quality online tutoring showed a deep dissatisfaction.

The data collected from the questionnaires, discussions and interviews have proved the full achievement of tutoring and educational goals aimed by the online tutorship. In the focus group relating to the second group of student-athletes - that is to say the control group - it has emerged that the student-athletes were not fully satisfied with the tutoring they were provided with. They stressed that, due to the problems and difficulties experienced in being present at URFI for lectures, and teachers’ office hours offered, they would have preferred a more intensive tutoring action based on online support, and not just on a face-to-face tutoring work.

Moreover, we think that particular consideration should be given to the percentages related to the liking and usefulness of the e-tutoring tools. The tools student-athletes most appreciated and liked were, as shown in the table above, the Facebook discussion group, WhatsApp chat, and Skype sessions. The reasons why they preferred these tools was that they were easy to use and based on a friendly interaction. Student-athletes liked more Facebook and WhatsApp because it has allowed them to be
involved in a continuous dialogue with questions and answers/replies and in ongoing support. Students also affirmed that they liked those e-tutoring tools because they were easily available on both tablets and mobile phones. In a nutshell, the student-athletes gave an overall positive evaluation of the tutoring system they were provided and, as it happened in the case of the second group, ask for more of this kind of tutoring in the case of not being provided with it.
RESEARCH FINDINGS

Antonio Sánchez Pato, Antonio Calderón, Juan Alfonso García Roca, José Luis Arias Estero, Lourdes Meroño García, Elena Conde Pascual, Alejandro Leiva Arcas, Athanasios Koustelios, Charalampos Samantzis, Samantha Thackray, Julie Brunton, Andrew Decelis, Oliver Mallia, Emanuel Isidori, Alessandra Fazio, Emilia Angelillo, Espedito Laterza
In this chapter we will explain the main findings collected after application of the ESTPORT questionnaire to the student-athletes from the four universities, comparing data from the pre and post-test. The questionnaire was previously designed and validated by research team (Sanchez-Pato, et al., 2016).

The first purpose of this project was to design and validate a questionnaire about the perceptions of elite student-athletes at university relating to their dual career. In the initial creation of the instrument, constructs were defined and three dimensions were established: academic life, sporting life and sports tutor. After a process of ‘back translation’, experts \((n = 8)\) agreed the construct to ensure content validity, the dimensions and the questionnaire questions. Statistical analysis of the items showed adequate comprehension to confirm content validity of participants \((n = 30)\). In construct validity \((n = 73)\), the results showed correct psychometric quality, internal consistency, reliability and adequacy of the structural model. The instrument had evidence of external validity, since the results were congruent with the main findings of the research. Finally, the “ESTPORT-survey: Questionnaire about the perceptions of dual career student-athletes” (Sánchez-Pato et al., 2016) was found to be a valid and reliable assessment instrument, consisting of 84 questions (in Spanish and English language), that enables the perception of elite student-athletes to be known about their dual career whilst at university.

In summary, this assessment tool had two categories: (a) Socio-demographic and contextual variables (i.e., “Which level in sport do you participate?”); and (b) Dual Career issues (i.e., “Do your studies interfere with your athletic performance?”). The second category contemplated three dimensions about the dual career: “Academic life” (i.e., “How much do you value having access to ‘Distance learning’ as part of the services and feature of your dual career at your university?”), “Sporting life” (i.e., “How much do you
value the ‘Sport monitoring’ services and features as part of having a dual career at your university?”), and “Sports Tutor” (i.e., “How highly do you value these services and features of the dual career at your university ‘Dual career sports tutors?’”). Most of the questionnaire items used a Likert scale ranging from 1 (“strongly disagree”) to 5 (“strongly agree”).

The final version “ESTPORT-survey”, about the perceptions of the dual career, were assessed by the student-athletes without the presence of the trainer or teacher. The time approximately for each questionnaire (pre and post) were about 30 minutes (Appendix 8.2. ESTPORT-Survey).

In this chapter are explained the main findings collected after application of the ESTPORT-survey to the student-athletes from the four universities, comparing data from the pre and post-test.

1. Catholic University of San Antonio (Spain)

Methodology

The questionnaire was sent prior to the start of the project, once the project was completed, the questionnaire was sent back to the athletes. The aim was to know if there existed differences between the perceptions of the sports students about the sport and the academic work and the support provided by the University.

- Participants: The questionnaire was answered by 108 athletes. The purpose was to know if there existed differences between the perceptions of the sports students about the sport and the academic work and the support provided by the University. The profile of the athletes corresponds to those who are in the maximum sport level of their races and who are currently studying university. Emphasize that they benefit from a total exemption from tuition fees for the completion of these studies. Other interesting data regarding the profile of the participants has to do with the level of competition in which athletes are currently active, which is found mostly in university competition and Spanish championships, although there is a high
number participating in championships of Europe and of the World. Although to a lesser extent with respect to the previous competitions, but also there are athletes participating in Olympic Games. Another interesting aspect is the level of the athletes, in which the professional and semi-professional level stands out. With regard to the aid received from other entities other than UCAM for the realization of the dual career, sports clubs stand out, followed with a great distance from the Higher Council of Sports (government aid).

- **Instrument**: “ESTPORT-survey”: The student-athletes were measured using the Spanish version of the “ESTPORT-survey: Questionnaire about the perceptions of dual career student-athletes” (Sánchez-Pato et al., 2016). The instrument was composed of 84 questions about “Academic life”, “Sporting life”, and “Sports Tutor” too. The perception of the student-athletes was assessed twice: pre-test and post-test.

- **Procedure**: The student-athletes complete the questionnaires anonymously and individually, in a relatively relaxed situation, without being subject to study or competition pressure, without the presence of the coach or teacher (time: 30 minutes). They accessed the online questionnaire designed by the researchers to know the student-athletes’ perception of the dual career. The subjects did not receive any additional explanation to the one that appeared in the instrument itself on the completion of the same. Nevertheless, they were given an information document about the project so as to make known what it consists of and what it serves, how it is done, what it will benefit, etc.

**Academic Life**

It is important to emphasize that one of the main motivations of the athletes to study university is to increase the chances of getting a job once their sports career ends. Enjoy studying and wanting to improve their education is another reason that emphasize the athletes and that must be taken into account. Sports performance is an element that seems to influence the performance of athletes, since it is not always easy to reconcile
it with academic life. When defining themselves, they are considered athletes-students rather than student-athletes since for them, sport is the priority in front of their studies, both for the time they dedicate and for being their priority in this moment of their lives performance sports.

With regard to the perception of difficulty in matching sports and studies, they find it regular and difficult, although it is important to emphasize, that they value to a great extent the flexible curriculum that exists in the UCAM, as well as distance education and the existence of the figure of the sports tutor. The expectations of the athletes once they finish, indicate that they would like to work in what they have studied, although it is also important to continue their sports career. To emphasize that athletes have the support of both their coach and their families to study and / or train and special reference is made to the friends and the sports service of the University. In his university studies, it is important to emphasize that the athletes refer to the methodological strategies that the teachers implement in order to favor the dual career. It should be note that the rhythm of the athletes to study is not very different with respect to the rest of students, since they dedicate 1-2 years to finalize an academic course.

In relation to the information that the athletes have of their condition and the benefits it entails, there is a great lack of knowledge regarding the national and regional regulations that affect them as high level athletes, since more than half do not have that Information, while a number close to half of the students of the UCAM also do not know the academic regulations of the University regarding elite athletes. This is a valuable information that could be used to carry out future actions that allow us to know the benefits that can be enjoyed both nationally, regionally or within the university itself as athletes.

To conclude this section, we think it is convenient to highlight some data obtained regarding the positive perception of athletes of their studies in the UCAM, as they are that the University has virtual tools that favor the dual career, the importance it has for athletes to learn what they are taught in their studies, the safety in which they can finish their studies, the importance of studies to achieve knowledge, as well as obtaining a degree to find a future job once the studies are completed.
Sport Life

The answers provided are according to the most recent research in the field of dual career (e.g., Jonker, Elferink-Gemser, & Visscher, 2009; Lorenzo & Bueno, 2012) in which the sports career seems to present itself as an aid to face the academic race and the trainings do not seem to interfere in the academic performance, reinforcing the present results that show that the athletes of the UCAM have a high load of training, and approximately half of them train 4-5 days a week and between 11 and 20 hours a week. In this sense, it’s interesting to note that most athletes believe that training does not interfere with their academic performance.

There could be a direct relationship with the teaching support tools that athletes have, being the most used virtual campus. In this sense, to obtain positive results by combining sports career and academic training, the literature reflects both the need to promote ethical and sustainable sports practices and the development of an elite sports system, which will be effective in the Identification and the promotion of sports talents, respecting the education / training needs of young athletes (David, 2004).

With respect to the sports career, the results stand out that to affirm that the athletes would like to dedicate themselves to what they have studied once they finish their sport race, as well as their connection to the world of the sport, reason why once again, it is shown like the athletes not only identify with this current figure, but are aware of the importance for their future to carry out studies that allow them a tool to make a living when their sports careers end.

Sport Tutor

Today, one of the biggest unknowns that revolve around the dual race is to know what model could be applied to optimize the harmonization of this career. In this way, the figure of the personal sport tutor appeared, in order to offer individualized advice according to academic studies and practiced sports, because this could be the key to success in the dual career (Álvarez, Pérez, López, & González, 2014; López de Subijana, Barriopedro,
& Conde, 2015; Vilanova & Puig, 2013). Therefore, it’s necessary to know the perceptions of dual career student-athletes about the “sport tutor”.

In this research, differences were found according to the perception of the amateur, semi-professional or professional athletes in favor of the latter in the dimension referring to the figure of the sport tutor, since the professional athletes valued more the figure of the sport tutor than amateur athletes or semi-professional. Overall, the student-athletes valuated highly the services and features of the dual career at this university “Dual career sports tutors”. They corroborated a strong relationship with the sport tutor because they regularly keep in communication, mainly by email. According to the perceived results, the student-athletes supported that the interaction between the sports tutor and the Sports University Service is good to facilitate the dual career. In addition, they presented high scores of perception about the relevance of the sport tutor, mainly because they need someone who listen them and who is available for them.

Other finding was the higher scores of perception by the student-athletes to the need a sport tutor for “personal support” than “academic support” or “time management”. Most of the student-athletes showed that they prefer that the sport tutor to advise/help them with how to contact teachers, how to justify the assistance on the basis of training and/or competitions or how overcome aspects of teaching and learning (e.g., seminar help, placement support), among others. Finally, it’s very important to highlight that the student-athletes perceived highly necessary the “student-tutor” or “peer-tutor” to help them to prepare exams and to inform them of any changes generally, mainly. To sum up, the student-athletes perceived the sport tutor as a mentor or reference who guides the academic, sporting and personal life of their dual career.

2. University of Rome Foro Itálico (Italy)

We are going to explain the main improvements after the application of the sport tutorship model.
Methodology

Our research and implementation unit has identified a general sample of 139 Student-Athletes among those who have competed in the Olympic Games and have participated and taken part in regional, national, European and World competitions and contests. From the general sample, we have extracted a subsample of 26 Student-Athletes only composed of people who have competed in the Olympics and taken part in international competitions. We did it to have a sample whose key features could be compared to the UCAM’s one.

From the data, we have derived that so-called student-athlete is not officially enrolled as such (as a particular category) at the University of Rome Foro Italico. According to internal University regulations, after detecting/selecting student-athletes (elite athletes), the University provides individual support and facilitation, although any BA degree or MA degree regulations are tailor-made to the different needs of different athletes background.

Before the implementation of DUCASTUN model, the information derived from the administration to the two questionnaires showed that didn’t exist any substantial difference between academic and sport life for URFI’s student-athletes who didn’t have any idea about what a sport tutor conceived of as a learning and sport facilitator could be.

Academic Life

Most of the Student-Athletes affirmed that their studies and their athletic performance interfere each other due to the impossibility to organize and manage the time dedicated to their studies and sport competitions and training. They tend to consider themselves mainly as athlete-students and not as Athlete-Students. The main barriers for the dual-career are, in their opinion, the fact that both students and training schedules are not flexible and that lecturers of their university didn’t implement learning and teaching strategies that promote having a dual career. Moreover, URFI’s student-athletes have shown to ignore the national and regional legislation...
regarding the elite athletes. Also, they claim to ignore the academic regulations of their university regarding the student-athletes.

From the questionnaire, what it emerges as the biggest problem is the lack of information about rights and guidance support and opportunities for the student-athletes and a lack of involvement of university instructors in supporting this kind of students and in using personalized and based on distance education learning tools. In URFI’s student-athletes opinion, a tutor should help them manage administration and legal issues, inform them about annual exams calendar and provide them with new and agile learning methodologies.

**Sport Life**

The application of DUCASTUN model – derived and developed from UCAM’s tutorship model – to a small sample of student-athletes from CONI (Italian Olympic Committee) has shown the effectiveness of the UCAM’s one and its sustainability and possible adaptation to another context. The data from the questionnaire administered to the small sample demonstrate that when the tutorship system has its pillars in the figure of a tutor conceived of as an administrative support and the lecturer/instructor becomes a facilitator and a counsellor capable of using Web.2.0-based communication technologies and social network as flexible learning environments, the tutorship system is really effective. Its effectiveness can be measured and evaluated in terms of fully satisfaction and increase of motivation towards studies and athletic performance by student-athletes who feel to be part of a learning community of human beings in which their rights are respected, and their skills and competencies recognized and enhanced.

**Sport Tutor**

To conclude, DUCASTUN and UCAM’s tutoring models represent an example of how the personalistic pedagogy of care, inspired by educationists such as Paulo Freire, Don Lorenzo Milani or the Spanish
Victor García Hoz, can be updated, put into practice and to turned into a pedagogical model capable of enhancing the skills for life in the new generations of young athletes.

3. University of Malta (Malta)

We are going to expose the pre and post-survey results. Prior to the start of the project, a preliminary study on the perceptions of student-athletes on sport and academic work and the support given by University was carried out.

Methodology

Twenty-one student-athletes filled in the preliminary questionnaire. As a follow-up study, six student-athletes who participated the ESTPORT pilot study filled in the same questionnaire. A comparison of the main results in both studies in Malta is presented below. Most athletes in the preliminary and the follow-up studies consider themselves to be amateurs or semi-professionals, have been in high performance competition for more than five years, are currently attending a first degree course of 3-4 years, dedicate about 22 hours of lectures and extra study, up to 20 hours of training, and work part-time for up to 15 hours a week.

Academic Life

Student-athletes were asked if their studies interfere with their athletic performance, and the majority of the respondents in the preliminary study replied that it does, particularly during the exam period and when lectures clash with their training times or when they need to compete in international competitions. On the other hand, most of the student-athletes in the follow-up study replied that it doesn’t, stating that the sport-tutors helped them when they needed to be excused from lectures, particularly since at UM attendance is compulsory. In both studies, student-
athletes argued that their athletic performance interferes with their studies, particularly since they need to dedicate many hours on training and also because they would be too tired to study after their training sessions.

**Sport Life**

Asked whether they consider their studies or their athletic career to be more important, most respondents in both studies chose the former, stating that it’s very hard to earn a living from sport in Malta. However, while the majority of the respondents in the preliminary study described balancing their sporting life with their academia as hard, most of the respondents in the follow-up study described it as normal. Almost all respondents in both studies replied that they have support from their family, coaches and friends in this order. In both studies, lecturers were placed fourth. However, a much larger percentage of student-athletes who participated in the ESTPORT pilot study chose the lecturers as the persons that support them to achieve balance between sports and studies.

Students were also asked about the barriers in achieving a good balance between their sporting life and their studies. A larger percentage of students in the preliminary study, when compared to the follow-up study, attributed this challenge to their University lack of flexibility in their schedule. While very few students in the preliminary study replied that their lecturers implement teaching and learning strategies that promote dual career, half the student-athletes in the follow-up study stated they do, and explained that lecturers were flexible, moved times of lectures to accommodate their training and gave assignment titles very early to allow planning ahead.

**Sport Tutor**

When the respondents were asked if they are aware of the academic regulations of University regarding student-athletes, half the respondents of the preliminary study stated they are not, while the other half said they don’t know if there are any. On the other hand, the majority of the student-athletes in the follow-up study insisted they are aware of these regulations.
Compared to the respondents of the preliminary study, less students in the follow-up study stated that their academic commitment interferes with their training. The most difficult aspects to co-ordinate with their studies mentioned in both studies were daily training, training camps and competition. A majority of the student-athletes in the preliminary study stated that after they finish their athletic career they would like to continue to be involved in sport, while about a fourth of them stated they would like to work in a job they studied for. On the other hand, all student-athletes in the follow-up study would like to remain in sport.

The final set of questions were directed towards the sport tutorship model. Student-athletes in the follow-up study stated that their sport tutor contacted them mainly during lectures or by email and that he mainly facilitated their academic success through dealing with other lecturing staff. They also said that they need the sport-tutorship service to have someone to listen to them, for academic support, to help them in time-management, and to liaise with University staff. The main areas they would like the sport tutor to advise them on are changes in exam dates and solving administrative issues.

4. Leeds Trinity University (United Kingdom)

We are going to expose the Pre and post-survey discussion, in relation to three dimensions: academic and sport life and sport tutor.

Methodology

Every student athlete identified three main support groups for achieving a good balance between sporting life and studies. These were, family, coaches and friends. A fourth support group was Sport University Service, in the post-implementation survey 100% of student athletes identified Sport University Service as a key support system. This supports Leeds Trinity University’s decision to ensure a dual approach between academics and sport development.
Academic Life

There were varying responses to identifying barriers to achieving a good balance between sporting life and studies; this variation indicates how the demands placed on each student athlete are very different. Therefore they cannot be supported in the same manner.

Sport Life

Another indication of the varying demands was the deviation in training hours between student athletes in both their pre and post implementation surveys. The varying ranging of hours dedicated to training each week indicates once again, the importance of a student athlete led model. In the pre-implementation survey, only 20% of student athletes recognised the support available, post-implementation this rose to 100%. Every student athlete identifying the support channels available demonstrates that the core of implementation relating to create awareness was successful.

Sport Tutor

In the pre-implementation findings, it was evident that student athletes recognised there was a tutorship system being implemented however none had utilised it. Three had spoken with their Sports Tutors, but this was in their position as a lecturer rather than tutor. Post-implementation, 100% of students recognised they had a designated Sport Tutor and 85% had utilised them. Student athletes had also began to recognise the contribution that Sports Tutors had offered in achieving academic success.

This brief discussion of pre and post implementation survey results indicates that Leeds Trinity University has successfully created awareness of the model among student athletes. It can be argued that the results in relation to utilisation of support link heavily to the student athlete led approach adopted throughout the implementation process.
5. University of Thessaly (Greece)

The purpose of the survey was to provide information regarding academic life and sport life and sport tutor of students-athletes of the University of Thessaly. Two surveys were conducted: a) before the implementation of the sport tutorship program, b) after the implementation of the sport tutorship program.

Methodology

- **Participants:** A sample of 46 students-athletes from the Department of Physical Education Sport Sciences of the University of Thessaly selected for the purpose of this study. Seventeen of them were females and twenty-nine were males. Their mean age ranged from 18 to 24 years (M=20.60, SD=1.52).

- **Instrument:** For the purpose of the present study a specific questionnaire was designed (Sanchez-Pato, A. et al., 2016). The questionnaire consisted of 49 items and provide information regarding socio-demographic data, academic life, sport life and sport tutor of the students-athletes.

- **Procedure:** The method chosen for the purpose of the present study was that of self-completed questionnaires. Researchers informed all students that their participation was completely voluntary and the individual responses would be held in confidence and they will be used only for academic purpose. Quantitative data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences.

In the Department of Physical Education & Sport Sciences there was no any procedures regarding the dual career of student – athletes. Therefore differences exist only in the section ‘sport tutor’ after the implementation of the sport tutorship programme.

The results of the present study indicated that all of them participated in National Championships while five participated in International Competition and in University championships. Twenty-two of them
consider themselves as amateurs, twenty-one as semi-professionals and three as professional athletes. All of them they reported that they are high-level athletes.

All of them consider themselves as student-athletes and 80% believe that studies is the most important for them. All of them believe that studies interfere with their athletic performance while 80% of them believe that athletic performance interfere with their studies.

**Academic life**

Regarding their academic life results showed that 80% plan further studies while 20% employment in the area of their study. All of them have no support from their coach to study, while they have support from their family to their studies. The most important barriers towards achieving a good balance between their sporting life and their studies are: a) The university is far away from my home, b) The university is far from my training site c) I am usually tired d) Students’ schedules are not flexible. None of the students-athletes know the state legislation regarding the elite athletes.

**Sport Life**

Regarding their sport life results showed that training sessions per week vary from 6 to 10 sessions, while, training hours per week vary from 5-10, to more than 20 hours.

All of them find difficult to coordinate daily training with their studies, and all of them plan to continue to be involve in sport.

**Sport Tutor**

During the 1st survey there no responses to this section since there was no any procedure regarding sport tutor in the Department of Physical Education Sport Sciences of the University of Thessaly.

Students reported that there was a frequent contact with the sport tutor mainly by e-mails, SMS, personal and group meetings. Sport tutor
helped them in issues relating to exams calendar, change exam dates, how to contact teachers and how to solve administrative issues

Students also reported that sport tutor is very useful service, for their academic support, for their personal support, for time management and for liaising with the university.
CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

Antonio Sánchez Pato, Antonio Calderón, Emanuel Isidori, Elena Conde Pascual
The issue of dual career of students-athletes is a relatively new area of study. The importance of dual students-athletes career is now recognized by the EU. The students-athletes realize the importance of dual career. Results from the present study (after the implementation of the programme) showed that students-athletes found it very helpful, want to continue, and be a part of university rules. As it was mentioned earlier, research on dual career in the area of sport is limited. Only recently has become an area of research, but many problems remain unsolved. Future research should include other variables, in order to examine and describe better the issue of students-athletes dual career.

The new tools provided by Web 2.0 allow the student-athlete to share knowledge and open contents, and to develop abilities and skills to create learning communities that foster interpersonal communication. Through these resources, both dual-career and post-career student-athletes can develop skills for their future work and be supported in their retraining. The data from this study have shown that the easy accessibility from mobile phones and tablets explain the success of online tutoring tools. All this raises the question of the necessity to rethink the tutorship of student-athletes in light of the advent of these new forms of communication which are transforming the social network in online learning and tutoring environments. In line with that, our study also shows the necessity to rethink the tutorship addressed to the student-athlete in terms of a specialized e-pedagogy whose aim is to make virtual environments comfortable learning venues for her/him.

In the future, it will be necessary to study the tutorship for the dual career of athletes taking into account all the multidimensional aspects and pedagogical challenges it embodies and framing it within the concept of sport guidance which must always be supported and enhanced by web technologies. Mostly when they are aimed at developing social skills,
learning communities, social-critical competencies, and a constructive dialogue among people. These are the foundations and principles for an effective mentoring and tutoring.

For this reason, both e-tutoring and e-mentoring have to be seen as a part of a large process of guidance and orientation for athletes, universities, communities and families. Web technologies allow rethinking tutoring practices addressed to student-athletes as a form of human capital capable of empowering and helping them to enhance their skills as both persons and professionals in the frame of the continuous educational system. New online tutoring and e-learning technologies are capable to better link the learning process of student-athletes to the educational agencies that support them in all the stages of their professional and personal life. Moreover, they are also capable of making student-athletes’ learning process and tutoring more flexible and efficient in terms of time and goals.

In conclusion, ESTPORT research and implementation unit has shown how e-tutoring and its web technologies must be seen as an essential part of the methodology aimed at helping athletes reconcile, as well as possible and according to their needs, education and professional career. These technologies represent an opportunity for fulfilling their life both as professionals and human beings.

An important milestone is the EU Erasmus calls, which are allowing us to develop specific projects in different EU countries on the dual career topic. This initiative is crucial. Therefore, I propose to create a forum for discussion and a place that brings all these projects together with the main Associations and Institutions around the Dual Career, under the guidance of the “InterSport Group”. The goal should be to design the European model of sustainability for the Dual Career student-athletes in all its dimensions and to develop European policies based on the “good practices” tailored to the idiosyncrasies of each country, each institution and each sport.

A common challenge for universities, should be the development of a program of ‘Sports Erasmus’, enabling high level athletes to have a European experience with an assured quality in their academic affairs (such as classes and exams) as with their sport development (i.e. training and competitions).
Finally, we concluded this handbook by highlighting some important bullet points that will be useful for any institution that is willing to incorporate the Sport Tutorship model (ESTPORT) into a higher education context.

1. It’s necessary that the project of sports tutorials be approached institutionally and not occasionally, according to the good “will” of the Sport Faculty.

2. It’s very important that it becomes a permanent service to all student-athletes who “fit” under the proposed criteria available not only for students of the sports departments but also for the students of the other departments.

3. It’s necessary to raise awareness among teachers and student-athletes about the concept of mentoring so that they gradually become an integral part of everyday life.

4. It is critical to select, recruit and train excellent tutors to support dual career mentoring as well as identify instructors who are highly motivated and more sensitive to the problems facing that particular category of students.

5. Sports tutors should also be open-minded and flexible, able to manage new tools for distance learning and based on Web 2.0, to get in line and, finally, to face the challenge of social networks as learning environments.

6. Sports tutors should maintain contact with student athletes to monitor whether student athletes were doing enough, but care should be taken to avoid over-focusing.

7. The sports coach contact should instill a sense of support from the staff and the University behind the athlete. It is necessary for the model to make the athletes feel a sense of pride in relation to their achievements. This positivity is advisable since it allowed the successful development of the model.

8. It is recommended that the selection criteria of the “elite” group or identification of the target group be carefully determined in order to avoid further problems.

9. It is important to identify the particular needs of each participant by formulating with the tutor the “roadmap” for each student-athlete.
Support services should be classified into two different thematic areas: a) educational b) professional and occupational.

10. In order to meet the needs of student-athletes due to their busy schedule and training that cannot meet the physical presence of the tutor, online tutoring becomes necessary.

11. It is necessary to put the responsibility on the student athlete to be responsible for themselves and their progress. If necessary, they will seek support through making an appointment with their Sports Tutor who can work systematically through their problems to find a common solution.

12. Student-athletes need a career-oriented “toolkit” to obtain important information about their future both in terms of postgraduate studies and career options available for their future. It is recommended that the career toolkit contains information on postgraduate course listing, career guide with detailed description and guidelines for preparing resume and cover letter as well as CV template.

13. An evaluation phase is needed so that tutors can monitor both the student-athlete’s participation in the program and their progress.

14. Tutors need to be monitored during the testing period, not only to assist student-athletes, but also to ensure that the model works correctly and successfully meets initial objectives.

15. It is necessary to continuously promote the “Sports Tutoring Model” with the aim of disseminating and sensitizing the positive effects of its implementation in a permanent way, revealing all the advantages and multiple effects not only for student-athletes, but also for the institutions and stakeholders.

16. Adaptation to the changing economic environment. A sustainable framework for the model of sports mentoring dictates that its concept will always be subject to consideration and continuous adjustment to the changing conditions of the economic and social environment.

17. In order to ensure this continuous reform, a multidisciplinary committee should be introduced to evaluate the validation of the model and its functional adjustment to the conditions proposed by the new environment.
18. The introduction of a support system for student-athletes is very important for the continuation of their career. This system can include financial support for elite students (scholarships), medical support and psychological help, helping them to overcome problems that are approaching (for example, transition period in their careers).

19. Although the support provided by sports coaches is very important, especially if it is related to the demands of the University, this may not be enough. Such a project needs to involve clubs and associations from the outset, since in some cases they are putting too much pressure on their athletes and do not always understand the demands of academic studies.

20. Finally, to emphasize that one of the main objectives will be to implement the tutoring system, teaching tutors to be and act as best tutors, that is, learning facilitators and human beings capable of using emotional capital to motivate their tutors.


Talented Athlete Scholarship Scheme (ND) Retrieved from https://www.tass.gov.uk/


APPENDIX
1. Spanish Dual Career Policies

1) Organic Law of Universities (Art. 90 and 91). General framework:

- Central Government and Autonomous Community – Competences:
  - General coordination of the sports activities of the universities
  - Articulate formulas to make compatible the studies of high level athletes with their sports activities.
- Universities: they will provide instruments for the effective compatibility of the sport practice with the academic formation of the students.

2) Regulatory development:

1. Access to university studies
   a) Reservation quotas
      - RD 412/2014, of June 6, which establishes the basic rules of the procedures for admission to undergraduate university education.
      - RD 971/2007, of 13 of July, on high level and high performance athletes, in public universities:
        - General: 3% of the places offered
        - Physical Activity and Sport Sciences, Physiotherapy and Primary Education (PE Mention): 5% additional.

2. Sports Tutor
   - RD 1393/2007, of October 29, establishing the management of official university education.
– Universities should be provided with guidance procedures for new students to facilitate their incorporation into the university and university education.

- Art 62, RD 1791/2010, of December 30, by which approves the Statute of the University Student.
– Universities will promote the compatibility of students’ academic and sports activities.
– Universities will facilitate access to university, guidance and monitoring systems, and compatibility of studies with sports practice for students recognized as high level athletes.

- Sports Tutor - Art. 9.11, RD 971/2007, of July 13, on high level and high performance athletes
– To compatibilize studies with training and assistance to competitions and Academic tutorials to support students whose sports obligations prevent them from continuing their studies with normality.

3. Academic regulation
– RD1791 / 2010, of December 30, by which approves the Statute of the University Student.

- Universities in their own regulations to protect athletes High Level / High Performance in:
  • Requests for change of schedules
  • Coincidence of exams with competitions
  • Permanence regime
– UCAM regulations:
  - Regulation of permanence of Degree and Master
  - Compatibility of studies and sports practice High Level / High Performance
  - New Entry Students + Part time enrollment: min 30 ECTS
- Modificación de las convocatorias oficiales de evaluación de pruebas
- Atención a la condición de deportista de nivel alto / alto rendimiento: práctica general en todos los grados universitarios de la UCAM.

• A) Procedimiento general (Grado en Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte, entre otros)
  - Presentación a la Facultad universitaria
  - Gestión interna de la solicitud, a través del tutor deportivo
  - Establecimiento de fecha alternativa de evaluación por parte del profesor del tema

• B) Procedimiento específico en algunos grados: publicado en el sitio web del grado (Sección: horarios y exámenes)
  - Grados de Educación Primaria y Secundaria:
    - Plazos de presentación
    - Obligatoriedad de notificación al profesor
    - Posibles modificaciones en el formato de la prueba de evaluación con respecto a lo establecido en el Guía de docencia

• Grado en Derecho:
  - Plazos de presentación
  - Formulario de solicitud específico
  - Presentación de la solicitud por medios específicos (Secretaría técnica o correo electrónico alu.ucam.edu).
2. **ESTPORT Questionnaire**

A) **SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC AND CONTEXTUAL VARIABLES**

[In this block, the questions are related to variables of interest to know about the context of student-athletes]

15) **Gender**
   - ☐ Male
   - ☐ Female

2) **Years: ____**

3) **Civil status**
   - ☐ Single
   - ☐ Married
   - ☐ Separated
   - ☐ Divorced

4) **Have you got children?**
   - ☐ Yes (how many?)_____
   - ☐ No

5) **Which sport do you practice?**

6) **In which Sport level (multiple answers) competition do you participate?**
   - ☐ Local Championships
   - ☐ National Championships
   - ☐ University Championships
   - ☐ Continental Championships
   - ☐ European championships
   - ☐ World Championships
   - ☐ GSSE and Mediterranean games
   - ☐ Olympic Games

---

1 The purpose of the “ESTPORT-Survey” is to analyse the problems, needs and demands from students/athletes, as well as the Universities’ own practices, methodology, and programmes in place in the field of dual career. The ESTSPORT-Survey includes two blocks: (A) Socio-demographic and contextual variables; and (B) Dual Career issues.
7) **How do you consider yourself?**
   - Amateur
   - Semi-professional
   - Professional

8) **At what point in your career are you?**
   - Beginning of competition (less than five years in top-level competition)
   - High-performance competition (more than five years in top-level competition)

9) **What do you study?**
   - Vocational Education
   - Degree (which?)
   - Master’s degree (which?)
   - PhD (which?)

10) **How long are you studying at the university (in years)?**

11) **Do you receive a scholarship?**
   - Yes, it is a full scholarship of: _____________ € / £
   - Yes, it is a partial scholarship of: _____________ € / £
   - No, I am free of charge
   - No, I do not receive any money

12) **If yes, what institution gives you the scholarship?**
   - My University
   - Sports Governing Body
   - National Goverment
   - Olympic Committee
   - Other institution: ________________________________

13) **Where do you train?**
   - University facilities
   - Other facilities (where?)

14) **Do you work?**
   - No
   - Yes (where?)
   - If yes, how many hours a week? ________________
B) DUAL CAREER ISSUES

[In this block, the questions are related to specific aspects of your dual career (as student-athlete). It is divided in three sections: (1) Academia life; (2) Sport life; and (3) Sport-tutor²]

15) Why did you choose to study at University?
- To increase my job prospects
- Because I enjoy studying and want to educate myself
- For social interaction
- For a university experience
- Other: ________________________________________________________________

16) Do your studies interfere with your athletic performance?
- Yes
- No
- Why? ________________________________________________________________

17) Does your athletic performance interfere with your studies?
- Yes _________________________________________________________________
- No
- Why? ________________________________________________________________

18) Do you consider yourself as?
- Student-athlete
- Athlete-student
- Why? ________________________________________________________________

19) What is most important for you?
- Your studies
- Your athletic career
- Why? ________________________________________________________________

20) What is the level of difficulty to adjust your sporting life with your academia?
- Very easy
- Easy
- Regular
- Hard
- Very hard

² The Sport-tutor is the person who has the responsibility to help you in adjusting your academic and your sport career (dual career)
21) How do you value these services and features of the dual career at your university? (leave blank if not applicable)
   Mark with an “X” 1=Strongly disagree; 5=Strongly agree
   □ Flexible curriculum 1-2-3-4-5
   □ Distance learning 1-2-3-4-5
   □ Sport monitoring 1-2-3-4-5
   □ Dual career counselors 1-2-3-4-5
   □ No services are available

ACADEMIC LIFE
[In this block, the questions are related to specific aspects of your academic life (as student-athlete)]

22) What are your expectations for the end of your studies?
   □ Further studies
   □ Employment in my area of study
   □ Athletic career
   □ Graduate employment
   □ Other: _____________________________________________

23) Do you have any support from your coach to study?
   □ Yes
   □ No

24) Do you have any support from your family to study?
   □ Yes
   □ No

25) What are the barriers towards achieving a good balance between your sporting life and your studies?
   Mark with an “X” 1=Strongly disagree; 5=Strongly agree
   □ The university is far from my home 1-2-3-4-5
   □ The university is far from my training site 1-2-3-4-5
   □ I find myself unable to balance study and training time 1-2-3-4-5
   □ My current job does not allow me to study enough 1-2-3-4-5
   □ My current job does not allow me to train enough 1-2-3-4-5
   □ I have to take care of my family 1-2-3-4-5
   □ I am usually tired 1-2-3-4-5
   □ I lose the rhythm of the course 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I lose touch with my classmates 1-2-3-4-5
☐ The cost of education is high 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I do not have enough university support 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Students’ schedules are not flexible 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Training’s schedules are not flexible 1-2-3-4-5

26) **Who supports you towards achieving a good balance between your sporting life and your studies?**
☐ Family
☐ Coach
☐ Friends
☐ Lecturers
☐ Peers
☐ Sport University Service
☐ None of the above
☐ Others:_____________________________________________

27) **Do your Lecturers implement learning and teaching strategies that promote dual career?**
☐ Yes (what type?)______________________________________
☐ No

28) **How many years do you spend in achieving one whole level?**
☐ 1 year/level
☐ 2 years/level
☐ 3 years/level
☐ 4 years/level
☐ 5 years/level

29) **How many hours do you spend per week studying?**
☐ Attending lectures__________________ hours/week
☐ Extra study_______________________ hours/week

30) **Do you know the state or regional legislation regarding the elite athletes?**
☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ I am not aware that there any
31) **Do you know the academic regulation of your university regarding the student-athletes?**
☐ Yes
☐ No
☐ I am not aware that there are any

32) **Choose between 1=Strongly disagree and 5=Strongly agree**
☐ The university has virtual tools to promote dual career 1-2-3-4-5
☐ It is important for me to learn what is taught in my studies 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I am willing to invest time to get excellent grades in my studies 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I will be able to use what is taught in my studies on different aspects of my life outside the university 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I get more satisfaction from getting high marks in a subject than winning a game in my sport 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I have some doubts about my ability to get high grades in my studies 1-2-3-4-5
☐ I am sure I can get a degree 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Is not important for me to have better results than other students in my studies 1-2-3-4-5
☐ The content of most of my subjects is interesting to me 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Getting a degree is the most important reason for which I am studying at the University 1-2-3-4-5
☐ It is not worth the effort to get an excellent grade in my studies 1-2-3-4-5
☐ In an academic environment, I find it more difficult to cope with difficult tasks 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Studies are important to acquire knowledge and skills 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Achieving a degree is important to enrich my knowledge 1-2-3-4-5
☐ It is important for me to get a degree and that will help me to find a job 1-2-3-4-5
SPORT LIFE

[In this block, the questions are related to specific aspects of your sport life (as student-athlete)]

33) How many training sessions a week?
   ☐ 1
   ☐ 2
   ☐ 3
   ☐ 4
   ☐ 5
   ☐ 6
   ☐ 7
   ☐ 8
   ☐ 9
   ☐ 10
   ☐ Other:____

34) How many hours do you train per week?
   ☐ Less than 5
   ☐ Between 5 and 10
   ☐ Between 11 and 15
   ☐ Between 16 and 20
   ☐ More than 20

35) How much do you feel your sporting career helps you to cope with your studies? 1-2-3-4-5
   Mark with an “X” 1=Disagree; 5=Very much agree

36) Does your academic commitment interfere with your training? 1-2-3-4-5
   Mark with an “X” 1=Disagree; 5=Very much agree
37) The University offers services of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>My University offers (yes or no)</th>
<th>I use it (yes or no)</th>
<th>Evaluate it (1= low quality to 5= high quality)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Nutritionist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Exercise Physiologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Sports doctor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Physiotherapist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Performance Rating</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Sports Psychologist</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Sports Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

38) What teaching tools do you normally use?
Mark with an “X” the level of use 1 = not use a tool, 5 = very used

☐ Virtual Campus 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Forums 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Tasks 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Chats 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Videoconferences / Skype 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Facebook 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Twitter 1-2-3-4-5
☐ Others________________ 1-2-3-4-5

39) What is the most difficult aspect to coordinate with your studies?

☐ Daily training
☐ Training camps
☐ Competitions
☐ Others:______________________________________________

40) What are your expectations after finishing your athletic career?

☐ Continue to be involved in sport
☐ Work in what I studied
☐ To live on my savings
SPORTS TUTOR

[In this block, the questions are related to sports tutor. If you answer “no” to question 41, you do not need to continue. Thank you very much]

41) Does your university have a sport tutor system?
   □ Yes
   □ No

42) My sport tutor contacted me in this year to advise and/or support:
   □ Yes
   □ In classroom
   □ By phone call
   □ By text message
   □ By email
   □ No

43) My sport tutor kept in communication with me 1-2-3-4-5
   Mark with an “X” 1= Few times; 5= A lot of times

44) My sport tutor deals with my lecturers regarding issues towards letting me have success on my courses? 1-2-3-4-5
   Mark with an “X” 1= Few times; 5= A lot of times

45) My sport tutor facilitates my academic success through dealing with the lecturing staff? 1-2-3-4-5
   Mark with an “X” 1= Not at all; 5= Yes a lot

46) I need this service:
   □ Having someone who listens to me and is available
   □ For academic support
   □ For personal support
   □ For time management
   □ For liaising with university

47) Would you like your tutor to advise you on:
   □ Access to studies (Administrative Consulting)
   □ What subjects I should select
   □ Exams calendar
   □ Change in exam dates
☐ Justification for assistance on the basis of training and/or competitions
☐ How to contact teachers
☐ How to solve administrative issues
☐ The use of virtual campus
☐ Teaching methodologies

48) **Do you have a student-tutor?**
☐ Yes
☐ No

49) **How does the “student-tutor” help you?**
☐ We do not have the “student-tutor” in my university
☐ They facilitates me the note-taking
☐ They informs me about exams
☐ They help me with the workload and labs
☐ They informs me of any changes about my studies
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